

Uniform bounds for positive random functionals with application to density estimation

Oleg Lepski

Laboratoire d'Analyse, Topologie et Probabilités
Université de Provence

Congrès SMAI 2011, May 23 - May 27, Guidel

- ① Upper functions. General case
- ② Probabilistic study of statistical objects
 - Upper functions. Special cases
 - Comparison with asymptotical results
- ③ Sup-norm oracle inequality in density estimation
 - Selection from the family of kernel estimators
 - Adaptation over anisotropic Hölder classes

Part I

Upper functions. General case

Introduction

Problem formulation

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$ be a probability space, \mathfrak{S} be a linear space and Θ be a given set.

Let $\chi_n : \Theta \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, be a given sequence of \mathfrak{A} -measurable maps and $\mathbb{P}_f^{(n)}$ be the corresponding sequence of probability laws, parameterized by $f \in \mathbb{F}$.

Let $\Psi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a given *sub-additive* functional.

Goal: find non-random positive function on Θ which would be uniform upper bound for $\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta})$ in the sense

$$\mathbb{P}_f^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} [\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta}) - \mathcal{U}_n(\mathbf{y}, \theta)] \geq 0 \right\} \leq \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{y}, f);$$
$$\mathbb{E}_f^{(n)} \left(\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} [\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta}) - \mathcal{U}_n(\mathbf{y}, \theta)] \right)_+^q \leq \mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{y}, f, q).$$

The quantities $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{y}, f)$ and $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{y}, f, q)$ should possess several properties discussed later. In particular for any **fixed** $\mathbf{y} > \mathbf{y}_q$
 $\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{y}, f, q) \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ **uniformly** w.r.t. f .

Statistical models. Adaptive estimation.

Regression model

$$Y_i = f(z_i) + \xi_i, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$$

ξ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ are i.i.d.: $\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = 0$, or $\text{med}(\xi_1) = 0$;

The design points $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = \overline{1, n}$ are supposed to be either fixed real vectors or i.i.d. random vectors.

We observe $\mathbf{X}^{(n)} = \{(Y_1, z_1), \dots, (Y_n, z_n)\}$.

Density model

$$X^{(n)} \sim p_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$X^{(n)} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are i.i.d. random vectors having the density f .

Goal: to estimate the function f from the observation $\mathbf{X}^{(n)}$.

Statistical models. Adaptive estimation.

Regression model

$$Y_i = f(z_i) + \xi_i, \quad i = \overline{1, n}$$

ξ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ are i.i.d.: $\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = 0$, or $\text{med}(\xi_1) = 0$;

The design points $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = \overline{1, n}$ are supposed to be either fixed real vectors or i.i.d. random vectors.

We observe $\mathbf{X}^{(n)} = \{(Y_1, z_1), \dots, (Y_n, z_n)\}$.

Density model

$$\mathbf{X}^{(n)} \sim p_n(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$\mathbf{X}^{(n)} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are i.i.d. random vectors having the density f .

Goal: to estimate the function f from the observation $\mathbf{X}^{(n)}$.

General case

Problem formulation

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$ be a probability space, \mathfrak{S} be a linear space and Θ be a given set.

Let $\chi_n : \Theta \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, be a given sequence of \mathfrak{A} -measurable maps and $\mathbb{P}_f^{(n)}$ be the corresponding sequence of probability laws.

Let $\Psi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a given *sub-additive* functional.

Goal: find non-random positive function on θ which would be uniform upper bound for $\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta})$ in the sense

$$\mathbb{P}_f^{(n)} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} [\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta}) - \mathcal{U}_n(y, \theta)] \geq 0 \right\} \leq \mathcal{P}_n(y, f);$$
$$\mathbb{E}_f^{(n)} \left(\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} [\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta}) - \mathcal{U}_n(y, \theta)] \right)_+^q \leq \mathcal{E}_n(y, f, q).$$

To realize this program we impose the Bernstein-type assumption on the tail probability for $\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta})$ for any given $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\Psi(\chi_{n,\theta_1} - \chi_{n,\theta_2})$, $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$.

General case

Assumptions. Bound for a given trajectory.

Furthermore $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_f^{(n)}$, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_f^{(n)}$ and $\chi_{\theta} = \chi_{n,\theta}$.

Assumption (1)

- ① There exist $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta}) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G}\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{A}^2(\theta) + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z}\right\}$$

- ② There exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t. $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$, $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta_1} - \chi_{\theta_2}) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G}\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{a}^2(\theta_1, \theta_2) + \mathbf{b}(\theta_1, \theta_2)z}\right\}$$

$\mathbf{G}(x) = c \exp\{-x\}$, $c > 0$.

General case

Assumptions. Bound for a given trajectory.

Furthermore $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_f^{(n)}$, $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_f^{(n)}$ and $\chi_{\theta} = \chi_{n,\theta}$.

Assumption (1)

- ① There exist $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta}) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G}\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{A}^2(\theta) + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z}\right\}$$

- ② There exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t. $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$, $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta_1} - \chi_{\theta_2}) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G}\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{a}^2(\theta_1, \theta_2) + \mathbf{b}(\theta_1, \theta_2)z}\right\}$$

$$\mathbf{G}(x) = c \exp\{-x\}, \quad c > 0.$$

General case

Assumptions. Bound for a given trajectory. $G(x) = c \exp\{-x\}$, $c > 0$.

Assumption (1)

- ① There exist $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq z\} \leq G\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{A}^2(\theta) + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z}\right\}$$

- ② There exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t. $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$, $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta_1} - \chi_{\theta_2}) \geq z\} \leq G\left\{\frac{z^2}{\mathbf{a}^2(\theta_1, \theta_2) + \mathbf{b}(\theta_1, \theta_2)z}\right\}$$

Assumption (2)

- ① The mappings \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are semi-metrics on Θ and χ_\bullet is stochastically continuous in the topology generated by $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$.
- ② Θ is totally bounded with respect to the semi-metric $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_\theta := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{A}(\theta) < \infty$, $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\theta := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{B}(\theta) < \infty$.

General case

Assumptions. Bound for a given trajectory. Examples.

Let \mathbf{X} be \mathcal{X} -valued random vector defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$ and let $\mathbf{X}_i, i = \overline{1, n}$ be independent copies of \mathbf{X} .

Let \mathbf{W} be a given set of functions $\mathbf{w} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Example: $\Psi(\chi_\theta) = |\mathfrak{D}_w(\cdot)|, \theta = \mathbf{w}, \Theta = \mathbf{W}$

$$\mathfrak{D}_w = \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X}_i) - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X})].$$

If \mathbf{W} is a subset of the set of bounded functions then **Assumption 1** follows from Bernstein inequality. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{w}) &= \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X})]^2}, & \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{w}) &= \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\mathbf{w}(x)|; \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2), & \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) &= \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that **Assumption 2 (1)** is also fulfilled.

General case

Assumptions. Bound for a given trajectory. Examples.

Example: $\Psi(\chi_\theta) = |\chi_\theta|$, χ_θ is zero mean gaussian function

The **Assumptions 1 and 2 (1)** are obviously fulfilled with $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{b} \equiv 0$

$$\mathbf{A}(\theta) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\chi_\theta)^2}, \quad \mathbf{a}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\chi_{\theta_1} - \chi_{\theta_2})^2}$$

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

Assumption (1)

- ① There exist $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G} \left\{ \frac{z^2}{\mathbf{A}^2(\theta) + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z} \right\}$$

- ② There exist $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t. $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta, \forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Psi(\chi_{\theta_1} - \chi_{\theta_2}) \geq z\} \leq \mathbf{G} \left\{ \frac{z^2}{\mathbf{a}^2(\theta_1, \theta_2) + \mathbf{b}(\theta_1, \theta_2)z} \right\}$$

Assumption (2)

- ① The mappings \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are semi-metrics on Θ and χ_\bullet is stochastically continuous in the topology generated by $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$.
- ② Θ is totally bounded with respect to the semi-metric $\mathbf{a} \vee \mathbf{b}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{A}}_\theta := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{A}(\theta) < \infty$, $\bar{\mathbf{B}}_\theta := \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{B}(\theta) < \infty$.

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

The most important elements of our construction are:

$$\Theta_A(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{A}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

$$\Theta_B(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{B}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

For any $\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$, any $\tilde{\Theta} \subseteq \Theta$ and any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}$

$$e_s^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-2} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, a}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

$$e_s^{(b)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-1} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, b}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1}\mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

- $\mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, \mathbf{d}}(\nu)$, $\nu > \mathbf{0}$, - entropy of $\tilde{\Theta}$ measured in semi-metric \mathbf{d} ;
- $\mathbb{S} = \left\{ \mathbf{s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}(2^{k/2}) \leq \mathbf{1} \right\}$.

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

Introduced quantities

$$\Theta_A(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{A}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

$$\Theta_B(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{B}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

$$e_s^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-2} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, a}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1} \mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

$$e_s^{(b)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-1} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, b}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1} \mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

allow us to define for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{1}$ and any $\vec{s} = (s_1, s_2)$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\vec{s}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = e_{s_1}^{(a)}(\underline{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{u}, \Theta_A(\underline{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{u})) + e_{s_2}^{(b)}(\underline{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}, \Theta_B(\underline{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}))$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{A}} = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{A}(\theta) > \mathbf{0}; \quad \underline{\mathbf{B}} = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{B}(\theta) > \mathbf{0}.$$

Example: $s_1 = s_2 = (6/\pi^2)(1 + [\ln x]^2)^{-1}, x \geq 0$

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

Introduced quantities

$$\Theta_A(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{A}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

$$\Theta_B(\mathbf{t}) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{B}(\theta) \leq \mathbf{t} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{t} > \mathbf{0};$$

$$e_s^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-2} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, a}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1} \mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

$$e_s^{(b)}(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\Theta}) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-1} \mathfrak{E}_{\tilde{\Theta}, b}(\mathbf{x}(48\delta)^{-1} \mathbf{s}(\delta))$$

allow us to define for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \geq \mathbf{1}$ and any $\vec{\mathbf{s}} = (\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2)$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\vec{\mathbf{s}}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = e_{s_1}^{(a)}(\underline{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{u}, \Theta_A(\underline{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{u})) + e_{s_2}^{(b)}(\underline{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}, \Theta_B(\underline{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}))$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{A}} = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{A}(\theta) > \mathbf{0}; \quad \underline{\mathbf{B}} = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbf{B}(\theta) > \mathbf{0}.$$

$$\text{Example: } s_1 = s_2 = (6/\pi^2)(1 + [\ln x]^2)^{-1}, \quad x \geq 0$$

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

Denote $\ell(\mathbf{u}) = \ln \{1 + \ln(\mathbf{u})\} + 2 \ln \{1 + \ln \{1 + \ln(\mathbf{u})\}\}$ and set for any $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\varepsilon > 0, \mathbf{q} \geq 0$

"Probability payment"

$$P_\varepsilon(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + [\ell(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + \ell(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta))]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{A}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{A}}], \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{B}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{B}}]$$

"Moment payment"

$$M_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{q}}(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + (\varepsilon + \mathbf{q}) \ln [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)]$$

Remark: \mathcal{E} is an arbitrary function satisfying $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\vec{\mathbf{s}}}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq \mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Remark: ε and $\vec{\mathbf{s}}$ are turning parameters.

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

Denote $\ell(\mathbf{u}) = \ln \{1 + \ln(\mathbf{u})\} + 2 \ln \{1 + \ln \{1 + \ln(\mathbf{u})\}\}$ and set for any $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\varepsilon > 0, \mathbf{q} \geq 0$

"Probability payment"

$$P_\varepsilon(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + [\ell(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + \ell(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta))]$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{A}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{A}}], \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{B}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{B}}]$$

"Moment payment"

$$M_{\varepsilon, \mathbf{q}}(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + (\varepsilon + \mathbf{q}) \ln [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)]$$

Remark: \mathcal{E} is an arbitrary function satisfying $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\vec{\mathbf{s}}}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq \mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Remark: ε and $\vec{\mathbf{s}}$ are turning parameters.

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

UPPER FUNCTIONS OF THE FIRST TYPE ($\underline{A}, \underline{B} > 0$)

① "Probability upper function" $\Leftrightarrow V^{(z, \varepsilon)}(\theta)$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(A(\theta) \sqrt{P_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + B(\theta) \left[P_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

② "Moment's upper function" $\Leftrightarrow U_q^{(z, \varepsilon)}(\theta)$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(A(\theta) \sqrt{M_{\varepsilon, q}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + B(\theta) \left[M_{\varepsilon, q}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

General case: bounds under Assumptions 1 and 2.

$$V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \mathbf{z} \right] \right)$$

$$U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,q}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,r}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \mathbf{z} \right] \right)$$

Proposition 1. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1], \forall z \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) \right] \geq 0 \right\} \leq \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon \exp \{-z\};$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) \right] \right\}_+^q \leq \mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon,q} [\underline{\mathbf{A}} \vee \underline{\mathbf{B}}]^q \exp \{-z\}.$$

$$\mathbf{C}_\varepsilon = 2c \left[1 + \left[\ln \{1 + \ln(1 + \varepsilon)\} \right]^{-2} \right]^2;$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon,q} = c 2^{(5q/2)+2} \Gamma(q + 1) \varepsilon^{-q-4}.$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

Assumption (1)

① There exist $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $\forall z > 0$

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq z \} \leq c \exp \left\{ - \frac{z^2}{\mathbf{A}^2(\theta) + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z} \right\}$$

It is equivalent to: $\forall \theta \in \Theta, \forall z \geq 0$ and $\forall q \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z \right\} \leq c \exp \{-z\},$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \Psi(\chi_\theta) - \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z \right] \right\}_+^q \leq c_q \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta) \vee \mathbf{B}(\theta) \right]^q \exp \{-z\}$$

$$c_q = c 2^q \Gamma(q + 1).$$

Thus, the function $\mathbf{U}^{(z)}(\theta) := \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z$ can be viewed as "pointwise upper function" for Ψ , i.e. for fixed θ .

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right\} \leq c \exp\{-z\},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right]\right\}_+^q \leq c_q \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta) \vee \mathbf{B}(\theta)\right]^q \exp\{-z\}$$

Proposition 1. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1], \forall z \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right] \geq 0\right\} \leq C_\varepsilon \exp\{-z\};$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right]\right\}_+^q \leq C_{\varepsilon,q} [\underline{\mathbf{A}} \vee \underline{\mathbf{B}}]^q \exp\{-z\}.$$

$$V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\dots (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

$$U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\dots (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right\} \leq c \exp\{-z\},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right]\right\}_+^q \leq c_q \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta) \vee \mathbf{B}(\theta)\right]^q \exp\{-z\}$$

Proposition 1. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1], \forall z \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \mathbf{V}^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right] \geq 0\right\} \leq \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon \exp\{-z\};$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \mathbf{U}_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right]\right\}_+^q \leq \mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon,q} \left[\underline{\mathbf{A}} \vee \underline{\mathbf{B}}\right]^q \exp\{-z\}.$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

$$\mathbf{U}_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[(1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right\} \leq c \exp\{-z\},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right]\right\}_+^q \leq c_q \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta) \vee \mathbf{B}(\theta)\right]^q \exp\{-z\}$$

Proposition 1. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1], \forall z \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \mathbf{V}^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right] \geq 0\right\} \leq \mathbf{C}_\varepsilon \exp\{-z\};$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \mathbf{U}_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right]\right\}_+^q \leq \mathbf{C}_{\varepsilon,q} \left[\underline{\mathbf{A}} \vee \underline{\mathbf{B}}\right]^q \exp\{-z\}.$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

$$\mathbf{U}_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,q}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,r}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) \geq \mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right\} \leq c \exp\{-z\},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\Psi(\chi_\theta) - \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta)\sqrt{z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta)z\right]\right\}_+^q \leq c_q \left[\mathbf{A}(\theta) \vee \mathbf{B}(\theta)\right]^q \exp\{-z\}$$

Proposition 1. $\forall \vec{s} \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1], \forall z \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right] \geq 0\right\} \leq C_\varepsilon \exp\{-z\};$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left[\Psi(\chi_\theta) - U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta)\right]\right\}_+^q \leq C_{\varepsilon,q} \left[\underline{\mathbf{A}} \vee \underline{\mathbf{B}}\right]^q \exp\{-z\}.$$

$$V^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

$$U_q^{(z,\varepsilon)}(\theta) =$$

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^4 \left(\mathbf{A}(\theta) \sqrt{\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,q}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z} + \mathbf{B}(\theta) \left[\mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon,r}(\theta) + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 z \right] \right)$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

Payment for uniformity: may "disappear", i.e. in some cases

$$P_\varepsilon(\theta) = \text{const}, \quad M_{\varepsilon, q}(\theta) = \text{const}, \quad \theta \in \Theta_{\min}$$

$$\Theta_{\min} = \{\theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{A}(\theta) = \underline{\mathbf{A}}, \quad \mathbf{B}(\theta) = \underline{\mathbf{B}}\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{A}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{A}}], \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta) = (1 + \varepsilon)[\mathbf{B}(\theta)/\underline{\mathbf{B}}]$$

$$P_\varepsilon(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + [\ell(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + \ell(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta))]$$

$$M_{\varepsilon, q}(\theta) = 2[1 + \varepsilon^{-1}]^2 \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta), \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)) + (\varepsilon + q) \ln [\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\theta)\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(\theta)]$$

Bounds in general case: Payment for uniformity

Payment for uniformity may "disappear", i.e. in some cases

$$\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\theta) = \text{const}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{\varepsilon, q}(\theta) = \text{const}, \quad \theta \in \Theta_{\min}$$

$$\Theta_{\min} = \{\theta \in \Theta : \mathbf{A}(\theta) = \underline{\mathbf{A}}, \quad \mathbf{B}(\theta) = \underline{\mathbf{B}}\}$$

This is used in:

- very sophisticated criteria of optimality of adaptive procedures, related to the **geometry** of the parameter set Θ ;
- the application of **pointwise** adaptive procedures in **global** estimation e.g. estimation of functions possessing inhomogeneous smoothness (Nikolski, Besov classes).

Part II

Probabilistic studies of statistical objects

Non-asymptotical point of view

Empirical processes. Special cases

Let \mathbf{X} be d -dimensional random vector defined on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{A}, P)$ and let $\mathbf{X}_i, i = \overline{1, n}$ be independent copies of \mathbf{X} .

Let \mathbf{W} be a given set of **bounded** functions $\mathbf{w} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$\xi_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{t})], \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We will be interested in finding an upper function for

$$\|\xi_{\mathbf{w}}\|_{\infty} := \sup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\xi_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t})|, \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}.$$

Remark: The idea is to exploit the fact that Assumption 1 is verified on $\Theta = \mathbf{W} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Psi(\cdot) = |\cdot|$, i.e. for

$$|\chi_{\theta}| = |\xi_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t})|, \quad \theta = (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{t}).$$

Bounds for empirical processes. Special cases

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \otimes_{i=1}^d [h_i^{\min}, h_i^{\max}], \quad h^{\min}, h^{\max} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$K_h(\cdot) = V_h^{-1} K(\cdot/h) \quad V_h := \prod_{i=1}^d h_i$$

$$W_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}} = \{w = K_h, (K, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$W_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}}^{\otimes} = \{w = K_h \star Q_h, (K, h), (Q, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}\}$$

Kernel density estimation process:

$$\xi_{K_h}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [K_h(X_i - t) - \mathbb{E}K_h(X - t)]$$

Convolved kernel density estimation process:

$$\xi_{K_h \star Q_h}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ [K_h \star Q_h](X_i - t) - \mathbb{E}[K_h \star Q_h](X - t) \right\}$$

Bounds for empirical processes. Special cases

Assumptions

Assumption (K)

- 1 $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{H}_d(\alpha, L)$, for some $\alpha > 0$, $L > 0$.
- 2 $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \delta^\beta \mathfrak{E}_{\mathcal{K}} < C_\beta < \infty$, for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
- 3 $|\int \mathbf{K}| \geq C_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$, $\text{supp}(\mathbf{K}) \in [-1/2, 1/2]^d$, $\forall \mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{K}$.

Assumption (F)

$$\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{F} := \left\{ \mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{g} \geq 0, \int \mathbf{g} = 1, \|\mathbf{g}\|_\infty \leq \mathbf{f}_\infty \right\}.$$

Important quantity:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sup_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}} (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{h}})^{-1} \int \mathbb{I}_{\otimes_{i=1}^d [-h_i, h_i]}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{t}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{f}_\infty$$

Bounds for empirical processes

Kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case.

$$\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{K}_h(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{K}_h(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{t})]$$

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition)

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{f}} \left\{ \sup_{(\mathbf{K}, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \left(\|\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h}\|_{\infty} - \mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}_h] \right) \geq 0 \right\} \leq \frac{95}{\ln(n)}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}_h] = \mu \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{K}\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\ell_n(\mathbf{h})}{nV_h}} + \mu^2 \|\mathbf{K}\|_{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell_n(\mathbf{h})}{nV_h} \right]$$

- $\ell_n(\mathbf{h}) = \ln(1/V_h) \vee \ln \ln(n)$.
- The uniform bound $\mathcal{U}_n(\cdot)$ depends on the density \mathbf{f} via $\|\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty}$ only. Note also that $\|\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \mathbf{f}_{\infty}$.
- Explicit expression for $\mu = \mu(\alpha, \mathbf{L}, \beta, \mathbf{C}_{\beta}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{K}})$ is available.

Bounds for empirical processes

Kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case.

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition)

$$\mathbb{P}_f \left\{ \sup_{(\mathbf{K}, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \left(\|\xi_{\mathbf{K}, h}\|_\infty - \mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}, h] \right) \geq 0 \right\} \leq \frac{95}{\ln(n)}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}, h] = \mu \|f_{\mathcal{H}}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{K}\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\ell_n(h)}{nV_h}} + \mu^2 \|\mathbf{K}\|_\infty \left[\frac{\ell_n(h)}{nV_h} \right]$$

Theorem [Einmahl and Mason (2005)]

Let \mathbf{K} be given and let $nh_{\min}^d = \mathcal{O}(\ln n)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\sqrt{nh^d} \|\xi_{\mathbf{K}, h}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\ln(1/h)} \vee \ln \ln(n)} < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Key words: uniform in bandwidth consistency, **LL** for kernel estimators.

Bounds for empirical processes

Kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case.

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition)

$$\mathbb{P}_f \left\{ \sup_{(\mathbf{K}, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \left(\|\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h}\|_\infty - \mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}_h] \right) \geq 0 \right\} \leq \frac{95}{\ln(n)}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_n[\mathbf{K}_h] = \tilde{\mu} f_\infty L \sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/V_h) \vee \ln \ln(n)}{nV_h}}$$

Theorem [Einmahl and Mason (2005)]

Let \mathbf{K} be given and let $nh_{\min}^d = \mathcal{O}(\ln n)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\sqrt{nh^d} \|\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\ln(1/h) \vee \ln \ln(n)}} < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Bounds for empirical processes

Kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case.

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition) $n\mathbf{V}_{h_{\min}} = \mathcal{O}(\ln n)$

$$\mathbb{P}_f \left\{ \sup_{(K,h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \frac{\sqrt{n\mathbf{V}_h} \|\xi_{K_h}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\ln(1/\mathbf{V}_h) \vee \ln \ln(n)}} \geq \tilde{\mu} f_\infty \right\} \leq \frac{95}{\ln(n)}$$

Explicit expression for $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}(\alpha, \mathbf{L}, \beta, \mathbf{C}_\beta, \mathbf{C}_K)$ is available.

Theorem [Einmahl and Mason (2005)]

Let \mathbf{K} be given and let $n\mathbf{h}_{\min}^d = \mathcal{O}(\ln n)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{\sqrt{nh^d} \|\xi_{K_h}\|_\infty}{\sqrt{\ln(1/h) \vee \ln \ln(n)}} < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Bounds for empirical processes

Kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case. Moment's bound.

$$\xi_{K_h}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [K_h(X_i - t) - \mathbb{E}K_h(X - t)]$$

Remark: $\ell_n(\mathbf{h}) \leq \ln n \Rightarrow$

$$U_n(K_h) = \|f_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty} \tau \|K\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{\ln(n)}{nV_h}} + \tau^2 \|K\|_{\infty} \left[\frac{\ln(n)}{nV_h} \right]$$

$$f_{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \sup_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}} (V_h)^{-1} \int \mathbb{I}_{\otimes_{i=1}^d [-h_i, h_i]}(x - t) f(x) dx$$

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition)

$$\mathbb{E}_f \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}}} \left[\|\xi_{K_h}\|_{\infty} - U_n(K_h) \right] \right\}_+^q \leq c \left[\frac{f_{\infty}}{\sqrt{n}} \right]^q$$

Bounds for empirical processes

Convolved kernel density estimation process. Sup-norm case. Moment's bound.

$$\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ [\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h](\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{t}) - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h](\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{t}) \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_n^{\otimes}(\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h) = \|\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty} \gamma_{\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q}} \sqrt{\frac{\ln(n)}{n \mathbf{V}_{h \vee \tilde{h}}}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q}}^2 \left[\frac{\ln(n)}{n \mathbf{V}_{h \vee \tilde{h}}} \right]$$

Theorem (Corollary of Proposition)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}} \left\{ \sup_{\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}}^{\otimes}} \left[\|\xi_{\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h}\|_{\infty} - \mathbf{U}_n^{\otimes}(\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h) \right] \right\}_+^q \leq \bar{\mathbf{c}} \left[\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\infty}}{\sqrt{n}} \right]^q$$

- $\gamma_{\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q}} = \bar{\tau} \|\mathbf{K}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{Q}\|_{\infty}$.
- The explicit expressions for $\bar{\tau}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ are available.

Part III

Sup-norm oracle inequality in density estimation

(Joint work with A. Goldenshluger)

Density estimation.

\mathbb{P} is a probability law on Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R}^d possessing the density \mathbf{f} with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

$\mathbf{X}^{(n)} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, where \mathbf{X}_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are i.i.d. random vectors distributed on \mathbb{R}^d in accordance to \mathbb{P} .

Density model

$$\mathbf{X}^{(n)} \sim p_n(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Goal: to estimate the density \mathbf{f} from the observation $\mathbf{X}^{(n)}$.

Sup-norm oracle inequality in density estimation.

Selection from the family of kernel estimators

Objective: to propose a data-driven selection rule from the family of kernel estimators

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}} = \left\{ \hat{f}_{\mathbf{K}_h}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{K}_h(\mathbf{X}_i - \cdot), \quad \mathbf{K} \in \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

With any $(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}), (\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}$ we associate the estimator

$$\hat{f}_{\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h](\mathbf{X}_i - \cdot)$$

and consider the following selection rule.

Sup-norm oracle inequality in density estimation.

Selection rule

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{K}, h) = \sup_{(Q, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \left[\|\hat{f}_{\mathbf{K}_h \star Q_h} - \hat{f}_{Q_h}\|_{\infty} - \nu \hat{U}(Q, h) \right]$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{K}}, \hat{h}) = \arg \inf_{(\mathbf{K}, h) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{K}, h) + \nu \hat{U}(\mathbf{K}, h)$$

$$\hat{U}(\mathbf{M}, \eta) = \|\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{H}}\|_{\infty} \tau \|\mathbf{M}\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\ln(n)}{nV_{\eta}}} + \tau^2 \|\mathbf{M}\|_{\infty}^2 \left[\frac{\ln(n)}{nV_{\eta}} \right]$$

- $\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the pilot estimator of $f_{\mathcal{H}}$:

$$\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} (nV_h)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbb{I}_{\otimes_{j=1}^d [-h_j, h_j]}(\mathbf{X}_i - \mathbf{t})$$

- The constants ν and τ (whose explicit expressions are available) are completely determined by the constants from Assumption \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{d} .

Sup-norm oracle inequality in density estimation.

Selection rule

$$\Delta(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}) = \sup_{(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \left[\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{K}_h \star \mathbf{Q}_h} - \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{Q}_h}\|_{\infty} - \nu \hat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{h}) \right]$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{K}}, \hat{\mathbf{h}}) = \arg \inf_{(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \Delta(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}) + \nu \hat{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h})$$

Theorem (Oracle inequality)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\hat{\mathbf{K}}, \hat{\mathbf{h}}} - \mathbf{f}\|_{\infty} \right]^q \leq c_1 \inf_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{h}} - \mathbf{f}\|_{\infty} \right]^q + c_2 \left[\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\infty}}{\sqrt{n}} \right]^q$$

- The theorem is proved under Assumptions \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{F} and

$$n\nu_{\min} \geq 1, \quad |\mathbf{h}_{\max}| < \infty.$$

- The explicit expressions of c_1 and c_2 are available.

Adaptive estimation over anisotropic Hölder classes

Let $\{\mathbb{H}(\vec{\gamma}, \mathbf{L}), \vec{\gamma} \in (0, \mathbf{b}]^d, \mathbf{L} > \mathbf{0}\}$ be the collection of anisotropic Hölder classes on \mathbb{R}^d . Here $\mathbf{b} > \mathbf{0}$ is an arbitrary but a priori chosen integer.

Let $\mathbf{M} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given compactly supported lipschitz continuous function such that $\int \mathbf{M} = 1$ and

$$\int \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{j=1}^d x_j^{p_j} dx_j = 0, \quad \forall \vec{p} \in \mathbb{N}^d : 1 \leq p_1 + \dots + p_d \leq \mathbf{b}$$

Let $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{M}, \hat{h}}$ be the estimator chosen in accordance with the proposed selection rule, where $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{M}\}$.

Theorem (Adaptation). $\forall \vec{\gamma} \in (0, \mathbf{b}]^d, \mathbf{L} > \mathbf{0}, q > \mathbf{0}$

$$\sup_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{H}(\vec{\gamma}, \mathbf{L})} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[\left\| \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{M}, \hat{h}} - \mathbf{f} \right\|_{\infty} \right]^q \asymp \mathbf{L}^{\frac{2q}{2\gamma+1}} \left(\frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{\frac{2q\gamma}{2\gamma+1}}, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\gamma_j}.$$