

GEOMETRIE STOCHASTIQUE ET THEORIE DE L'INFORMATION

F. Baccelli
INRIA & ENS

En collaboration avec V. Anantharam, UC Berkeley

SMAI 2011, Mai 2011

Structure of the Lecture

- Shannon Capacity and Error Exponents for Point Processes
 - Additive White Gaussian Noise AWGN
 - Additive Stationary Ergodic Noise ASEN
- Shannon Capacity and Error Exponents for Additive Noise Channels with Power Constraints

AWGN DISPLACEMENT OF A POINT PROCESS

- μ^n : (simple) stationary ergodic point process on IR^n .
- $\lambda_n = e^{nR}$: intensity of μ^n .
- $\{T_k^n\}$: points of μ^n (**codewords**).
- IP_n^0 : Palm probability of μ^n .
- $\{D_k^n\}$: i.i.d. sequence of displacements, independent of μ^n :

$$D_k^n = (D_k^n(1), \dots, D_k^n(n))$$

i.i.d. over the coordinates and $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ (**noise**).

- $Z_k^n = T_k^n + D_k^n$: displacement of the p.p. (**received messages**)

AWGN UNDER MLE DECODING

- $\{\mathcal{V}_k^n\}$: Voronoi cell of T_k^n in μ^n .
- Error probability under MLE decoding:

$$p_e(n) = \text{IP}_n^0(Z_0^n \notin \mathcal{V}_0^n) = \text{IP}_n^0(D_0^n \notin \mathcal{V}_0^n) = \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_k 1_{T_k^n \in B^n(0, A)} 1_{Z_k^n \notin \mathcal{V}_k^n}}{\sum_k 1_{T_k^n \in B^n(0, A)}}$$

- Theorem 1-wgn Poltyrev [94]
 1. If $R < -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2)$, there exists a sequence of point processes μ^n (e.g. Poisson) with intensity e^{nR} s.t.

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

2. If $R > -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2)$, for all sequences of point processes μ^n with intensity e^{nR} ,

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof of 2 [AB 08]

– $V_n(r)$: volume of the n -ball or radius r .

– By monotonicity arguments, if $|\mathcal{V}_0^n| = V_n(\sqrt{n}L_n)$,

$$IP_n^0(D_0^n \notin \mathcal{V}_0^n) \geq IP_n^0(D_0^n \notin B^n(0, \sqrt{n}L_n)) = IP_n^0 \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_0^n(i)^2 \geq L_n^2 \right)$$

– By the SLLN,

$$IP_n^0 \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D_0^n(i)^2 - \sigma^2 \right| \geq \epsilon \right) = \eta_\epsilon(n) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

– Hence

$$\begin{aligned} IP_n^0(D_0^n \notin \mathcal{V}_0^n) &\geq IP_n^0(\sigma^2 - \epsilon \geq L_n^2) - \eta_\epsilon(n) \\ &= 1 - IP_n^0(V_n(\sqrt{n(\sigma^2 - \epsilon)}) < |\mathcal{V}_0^n|) - \eta_\epsilon(n) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of 2 [AB 08] (continued)

– By Markov ineq.

$$\mathbb{P}_n^0(|\mathcal{V}_0^n| > V_n(\sqrt{n(\sigma^2 - \epsilon)})) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_n^0(|\mathcal{V}_0^n|)}{V_n(\sqrt{n(\sigma^2 - \epsilon)})}$$

– By classical results on the Voronoi tessellation

$$\mathbb{E}_n^0(|\mathcal{V}_0^n|) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} = e^{-nR}$$

– By classical results

$$V_n(r) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^n}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \sim \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^n}{\sqrt{\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{2e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

– Hence

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_n^0(|\mathcal{V}_0^n|)}{V_n(\sqrt{n(\sigma^2 - \epsilon)})} \sim e^{-nR} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e(\sigma^2 - \epsilon))} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

since $R > -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2)$.

AWN DISPLACEMENT OF A POINT PROCESS

- Same framework as above concerning the p.p. μ^n .
- $\{D_k^n\}$: i.i.d. sequence of centered displacements, independent of μ^n .
- $D_k^n = (D_k^n(1), \dots, D_k^n(n))$: i.i.d. coordinates with a density f with well defined differential entropy

$$h(D) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \log(f(x)) dx$$

- If D is $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, $h(D) = \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2)$

AWN UNDER TYPICALITY DECODING

- **Aim:** For all n , find a partition $\{\mathcal{C}_k^n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n , jointly stationary with μ^n such that

$$p_e(n) = \mathbb{P}_n^0(D_0^n \notin \mathcal{C}_0^n) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- **Theorem 1-wn**

1. If $R < -h(D)$, there exists a sequence of point processes μ^n (e.g. Poisson) with intensity e^{nR} and a partition s.t.

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

2. If $R > -h(D)$, for all sequences of point processes μ^n with intensity e^{nR} , for all jointly stationary partitions,

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Proof of 1

- Let μ^n be a Poisson p.p. with intensity e^{nR} with $R+h(D) < 0$.
- For all n and δ , let

$$A_\delta^n = \left\{ (y(1), \dots, y(n)) \in I\!\!R^n : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y(i)) - h(D) \right| < \delta \right\}$$

- By the SLLN, $\textcolor{blue}{IP}_0^n((D_0^n(1), \dots, D_0^n(n)) \in A_\delta^n) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$

Proof of 1 (continued)**■ \mathcal{C}_k^n contains**

- all the locations x which belong to the set $T_k^n + \mathcal{A}_\delta^n$ and to no other set of the form $T_l^n + \mathcal{A}_\delta^n$;
- all the locations x that are ambiguous and which are closer to T_k^n than to any other point;
- all the locations which are uncovered and which are closer to T_k^n than to any other point.

Proof of 1 (continued)

- Let $\tilde{\mu}^n = \mu^n - \epsilon_0$ under IP_n^0

- Basic bound:

$$IP_n^0(D_0^n \notin \mathcal{C}_0^n) \leq IP_n^0(D_0^n \notin A_\delta^n) + IP_n^0(D_0^n \in A_\delta^n, \tilde{\mu}^n(D_0^n - A_\delta^n) > 0)$$

- The first term tends to 0 because of the SLLN.
- For the second, use Slivnyak's theorem to bound it from above by

$$\begin{aligned} IP(\mu^n(D_0^n - A_\delta^n) > 0) &\leq IE(\mu^n(D_0^n - A_\delta^n)) \\ &= IE(\mu^n(-A_\delta^n)) = e^{nR}|A_\delta^n| \end{aligned}$$

Proof of 1 (continued)

■ But

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \text{IP}(D_0^n \in A_\delta^n) = \int_{A_\delta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n f(y(i)) dy = \int_{A_\delta^n} e^{n\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(f(y(i)))} dy \\ &\geq \int_{A_\delta^n} e^{n(-h(D)-\delta)} dy = e^{-n(h(D)+\delta)} |A_\delta^n| \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|A_\delta^n| \leq e^{n(h(D)+\delta)}$$

■ Hence the second term is bounded above by

$$e^{nR} e^{n(h(D)+\delta)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

since $R + h(D) < 0$.

EXAMPLES

- Examples of A_δ^n sets for white noise with variance σ^2 :
 - **Gaussian case:** difference of two concentric L_2 n -balls of radius approximately $\sqrt{n}\sigma$.
 - **Symmetric exponential case:** difference of two concentric L_1 n -balls of radius approximately $n\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}$.
 - **Uniform case:** n -cube of side $2\sqrt{3}\sigma$.

ADDITIVE STATIONARY AND ERGODIC DISPLACEMENT OF A POINT PROCESS

■ Setting

- Same framework as above concerning the p.p. μ^n .
- $\{\mathcal{D}\}_k$: i.i.d. sequence of centered, stationary and ergodic displacement processes, independent of the p.p.s.
- For all n , $D_k^n = (\mathcal{D}_k(1), \dots, \mathcal{D}_k(n))$ with density f^n on \mathbb{R}^n .

ADDITIVE STATIONARY AND ERGODIC DISPLACEMENT OF A POINT PROCESS (continued)

- \mathcal{D} : with well defined differential entropy rate $h(\mathcal{D})$
 - $H(D^n)$ differential entropy of $D^n = (\mathcal{D}(1), \dots, \mathcal{D}(n))$
 - $h(\mathcal{D})$ defined by

$$h(\mathcal{D}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(D^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln(f^n(x^n)) f^n(x^n) dx^n.$$

- Typicality sets

$$A_\delta^n = \left\{ x^n = (x(1), \dots, x(n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log(f^n(x^n)) - h(\mathcal{D}) \right| < \delta \right\}.$$

ASEN UNDER TYPICALITY DECODING

■ **Theorem 1-sen**

1. If $R < -h(\mathcal{D})$, there exists a sequence of point processes μ^n (e.g. Poisson) with intensity e^{nR} and a partition s.t.

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

2. If $R > -h(\mathcal{D})$, for all sequences of point processes μ^n with intensity e^{nR} , for all jointly stationary partitions,

$$p_e(n) \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

■ **Proof:** similar to that of the i.i.d. case.

COLORED GAUSSIAN NOISE EXAMPLE

- $\{\mathcal{D}\}$ regular stationary and ergodic Gaussian process with spectral density $g(\beta)$, covariance matrix Γ_n :

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{D}(i)\mathcal{D}(j)] = \Gamma_n(i, j) = r(|i - j|)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{D}(0)\mathcal{D}(k)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ik\beta} g(\beta) d\beta.$$

COLORED GAUSSIAN NOISE EXAMPLE (continued)

- Differential entropy rate:

$$h(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(2e\pi \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln(g(\beta)) d\beta \right) \right).$$

- Typicality sets:

$$A_\delta^n = \left| \frac{1}{n} (x^n)^t \Gamma_n^{-1} x^n - 1 + d(n) \right| < 2\delta,$$

with

$$d(n) = \frac{1}{n} \ln(\text{Det}(\Gamma_n)) - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln(g(\beta)) d\beta \right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

MARKOV NOISE EXAMPLE

- Assume that $\{\mathcal{D}_n\}$ is a stationary Markov chain with values in \mathbb{R} , stationary distribution $\pi(x)dx$, mean 0 and with transition kernel $P(dy \mid x) = p(y \mid x)dy$, where $p(y \mid x)$ is a density on \mathbb{R} .
- If $(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2)$ has a well defined differential entropy then

$$h(\mathcal{D}) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \pi(x)p(y \mid x) \ln(p(y \mid x)) dx dy = h(\mathcal{D}_2 \mid \mathcal{D}_1) ,$$

with $h(U|V)$ the conditional entropy of V given U .

REPRESENTATION OF AWGN MLE ERROR PROB.

- **Theorem 2-wgn.** Assume WGN and MLE,
 - If μ^n is stationary and ergodic, the probability of success is

$$p_s(n) = \int_{r \geq 0} \int_{\vec{v} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathbb{P}_0^n(\mu^n(B_n(r\vec{v}, r)) = 0) \frac{g_\sigma^n(r)}{A_{n-1}} d\vec{v} dr ,$$

with A_{n-1} , the area of the n -sphere of radius 1: $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(1)$, and

$$g_\sigma^n(r) = 1_{r > 0} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2}} \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\sigma^n} \frac{2}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

- If μ^n is Poisson,

$$p_s(n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_n V_B^n(r)} g_\sigma^n(r) dr = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_n V_B^n(r\sigma)} g_1^n(r) dr ,$$

with $V_B^n(r)$ the volume of the ball $B^n(0, r)$.

PROOF

- $x \in \mathcal{V}_0^n$ iff the open ball $B^n(x, |x|)$ has no point of μ^n :

$$p_s(n) = \mathbb{P}_0^n(D_0^n \in \mathcal{V}_0^n) = \mathbb{P}_0^n(\mu^n(B^n(D_0^n, |D_0^n|)) = 0).$$

- $|D_0^n|$ has for density $g_\sigma^n(x) = g_1^n(x/\sigma)/\sigma$ on \mathbb{R}^+ .
- Given that $|D_0^n| = r$, the angle is uniform on \mathbb{S}_{n-1} .
- In the Poisson case, from Slyvniak's theorem,

$$\mathbb{P}_0^n(\mu^n(B^n(r\vec{v}, r)) = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\mu^n(B^n(r\vec{v}, r)) = 0) = e^{-\lambda_n V_B^n(r)}.$$

REPRESENTATION OF ASEN MLE ERROR PROB.

- **Stun of $s^n \in I\!R^n$**

$$\mathbb{S}(s^n) = -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(s^n)).$$

- **Key observation:**

$$\mathbb{S}(x^n - T_k^n) > \mathbb{S}(x^n), \quad \forall k \neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\mu^n - \epsilon_0)(F(x^n)) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(x^n) &= \{y^n \in I\!R^n \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{S}(x^n - y^n) \leq \mathbb{S}(x^n)\} \\ &= \{y^n \in I\!R^n \text{ s.t. } -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(x^n - y^n)) \leq -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(x^n))\}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Vol($F(x^n)$) only depends on $\mathbb{S}(x^n) = -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(x^n))$. Let**

$$V_f^n(r) = \text{Vol } \{y^n \in I\!R^n \text{ s.t. } -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(y^n)) \leq r\}.$$

REPRESENTATION OF ASEN MLE ERROR PROB. (continued)

- **Theorem 2-sen.** Assume MLE,
 - If μ^n is stationary and ergodic, the probability of success under MLE is

$$p_s(n) \geq \int_{x^n \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}_0^n((\mu^n - \epsilon_0)(F(x^n)) = 0) f^n(x^n) dx^n .$$

- If μ^n is Poisson, then

$$p_s(n) \geq \int_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \exp(-\lambda_n V_f^n(r)) \rho^n(dr) ,$$

where $\rho^n(dr)$ is the law of the random variable $-\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(D^n))$.

REPRESENTATION OF ASEN MLE ERROR PROB. (continued)

■ Terminology in relation with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-\lambda_n V_f^n(r)) \rho^n(dr) ,$$

- Normalized entropy density of D^n : $\text{RV } -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(D^n))$
- Entropy spectrum of D^n : law $\rho^n(dr)$ on \mathbb{R} :
- Stun level sets of D^n :

$$\mathcal{S}_f^n(r) = \{y^n \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ s.t. } -\frac{1}{n} \ln(f^n(y^n)) \leq r\}$$

- Stun level volume for r : volume $V_f^n(r)$ of $\mathcal{S}_f^n(r)$.

REPRESENTATION OF ASEN MLE ERROR PROB. (continued)

- The **Stun cell** $\mathcal{L}_k^n(\mathcal{D})$ of point T_k^n :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_k^n(\mathcal{D}) = & \{x^n \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{S}(x^n - T_k^n) < \inf_{l \neq k} \mathbb{S}(x^n - T_l^n)\} \\ & \cup \{x^n \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{S}(x^n - T_k^n) = \mathbb{S}(x^n - T_l^n) \text{ for some } l \neq k\} \cap \mathcal{V}_k^n.\end{aligned}$$

- The locations x^n with a stun (w.r.t. f^n) to T_k^n smaller than that to any other point;
- The locations x^n with an ambiguous stun (this includes the case where $\mathbb{S}(x^n - T_k^n) = \infty$ for all k) which are closer to T_k^n than to all other point.

These cells form a decomposition of the Euclidean space.

ERROR EXPONENTS

- \mathcal{D} , displacement process;
- μ^n , stationary and ergodic point process with intensity e^{nR} , $R = -h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha)$, $\alpha > 1$;
- $\mathcal{C}^n = \{\mathcal{C}_k^n\}_k$ jointly stationary partition.

■ Associated error probability:

$$p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D})$$

■ Optimal error:

$$p_{e,opt}^{pp}(n, \alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \inf_{\mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n} p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D})$$

ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)**■ Error exponents:**

$$\bar{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \limsup_n -\frac{1}{n} \log p_{e,opt}^{pp}(n, \alpha, \mathcal{D}) ,$$

$$\underline{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \liminf_n -\frac{1}{n} \log p_{e,opt}^{pp}(n, \alpha, \mathcal{D}) ,$$

ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

- Each particular point process sequence $\mu = \{\mu^n\}$ and partition $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}^n\}$ provides a lower bound on $\underline{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D})$:

$$\underline{\pi}(\mu, \mathcal{C}, \alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \liminf_n -\frac{1}{n} \log p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D})$$

- Main focus in what follows:

$$\underline{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \quad (\text{random coding e-e})$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON WGN ERROR EXPONENTS

Theorem 3-wgn-Poisson [Poltyrev 94]

In the $\mathcal{D}=\text{AWGN}$ case,

1. **For $1 < \alpha < \sqrt{2}$:** $\underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log(\alpha)$
2. **For $\alpha > \sqrt{2}$:** $\underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2} - \log(2) + \log(\alpha)$

■ Obtained from the Poisson–Voronoi case with

$$R = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma^2 \alpha^2), \quad \alpha > 1$$

Proof of Poltyrev's AWGN exponent in [AB 08]

- From Palm representation of $p_e(n, \alpha)$:

$$p_e(n, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\lambda_n V_n(r)}\right) g_n^\sigma(r) dr$$

with $g_n^\sigma(v\sigma\sqrt{n}) = e^{-n\left(\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log(v) + o(1)\right)}$

- Since $\lambda_n = e^{\frac{n}{2}\log 2\pi e\sigma^2\alpha^2}$, $1 - e^{-\lambda_n V_n(v\sigma\sqrt{n})} = e^{-n((\log \alpha - \log v)^+ + o(1))}$ and

$$p_e(n, \alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-n(\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log v + (\log \alpha - \log v)^+ + o(1))} dv$$

- The result follows from the minimization of the function

$$\frac{v^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log v + (\log \alpha - \log v)^+.$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS

- Setting Stationary ergodic noise \mathcal{D}

- $H(D^n)$ differential entropy of $D^n = (\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n)$
- $h(\mathcal{D})$ differential entropy rate of $\{\mathcal{D}\}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(\mathcal{D}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(D^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ln(f^n(x^n)) f^n(x^n) dx^n \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \rho^n(dr).
 \end{aligned}$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

■ **SEN Assumption:**

The family of measures $\rho^n(\cdot)$ satisfies an LDP with good rate function $I(x)$

■ **Example 1: Gärtner-Ellis:** if $\{-\ln(f^n(D^n))\}$ satisfies the conditions of the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem, namely if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\mathbb{E} \left((f^n(D^n))^{-\theta} \right) \right) = G(\theta)$$

exists and satisfies appropriate continuity properties, then the family of measures $\rho^n(\cdot)$ satisfies a LDP with good rate function

$$I(x) = \sup_{\theta} (\theta x - G(\theta)).$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

- Example 2: LDP on empirical measures: wn case
 - S the support of f
 - $K(\tau \parallel \phi)$ relative entropy (or Kullback-Leibler divergence) of the probability law $\tau(dx)$ w.r.t. the probability law $\phi(dx) = f(x)dx$:

$$K(\tau \parallel \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ln(r(x)) r(x) f(x) dx,$$

with $r = \frac{d\tau}{d\phi}$. This is ∞ unless τ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. ϕ , i.e. τ admits a density g such that $g(y) = 0$ when $f(y) = 0$ for a.a. y . In this case,

$$K(\tau \parallel \phi) = \int_S \ln\left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}\right) g(x) dx.$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

- From Sanov's theorem, the empirical measures

$$\nu^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}_i}$$

are $\mathbb{M}_1(S)$ -valued random variables which satisfy an LDP with good and convex rate function $K(\cdot \parallel \phi)$

- From the contraction principle, if the function $x \rightarrow \ln(f(x))$ from S to \mathbb{R} is continuous and bounded, then the family of measures ρ_D^n on \mathbb{R} satisfies an LDP with good and convex rate function

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{M}_1(S): -\int_S \ln(f(x))\tau(dx)=u} K(\tau \parallel \phi) \\ &= u - \sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{M}_1(S): K(\tau \parallel \phi)+h(\tau)=u} h(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

- Example 3: LDP on empirical measures: Markov case
- $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$: support of the measure on \mathbb{R}^2 with density $a(x)p(y|x)$.
- Under technical conditions, the empirical measures

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{D}_{i+1}}$$

satisfy an LDP on $\mathbb{M}_1(S)$ with good and convex rate function

$$I(\tau) = \begin{cases} K(\tau || \tau_1 \otimes P) & \text{if } \tau \in \text{Sym}\mathbb{M}_1(S) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- K : Kullback-Leibler divergence of measures on \mathbb{R}^2
- τ_1 : marginals of τ ; $\tau_1 \otimes P$: the measure $\tau_1(dx)P(x, y)dy$.

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

- If $(x, y) \rightarrow \ln(p(y | x))$ from S to \mathbb{R} is continuous and bounded, Then $\rho_{\mathcal{D}}^n$ satisfies an LDP with good and convex rate function

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &= \inf_{\tau \in \text{SymM}_1(S): \int_{\pi(x)p(y|x)>0} \ln(p(y|x))\tau(dxdy)=u} K(\tau || \tau_1 \otimes P) \\ &= u - \sup_{\tau \in \text{SymM}_1(S): K(\tau || \tau_1 \otimes P) + h(\tau_2 | \tau_1) = u} h(\tau_2 | \tau_1), \end{aligned}$$

provided the last function is convex and admits an essentially smooth Fenchel-Legendre transform

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

■ Lemma [Volume Exponent] [AB 10]

Assume that ρ^n satisfies a LDP with good rate function I . Then the **stun level volumes** verify:

$$\sup_{s < u} (s - I(s)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(V_{\mathcal{D}}^n(u)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln(V_{\mathcal{D}}^n(u)) \leq \sup_{s \leq u} (s - I(s)).$$

The function

$$J(u) = \sup_{s \leq u} (s - I(s)),$$

the **volume exponent**, is upper semicontinuous.

POISSON LOWER BOUNDS ON SEN ERROR EXPONENTS (continued)

Theorem 3-sen-Poisson [Main Result], [AB 10]
 Under the SEN assumption (LDP) on \mathcal{D} ,

$$\underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \inf_r \{F(r) + I(r)\} ,$$

where

- $I(r)$ is the rate function of the noise entropy spectrum ρ^n
- $F(r)$ is

$$F(r) = (\ln(\alpha) + h(\mathcal{D}) - J(r))^+ ,$$

with

$$J(r) = \sup_{s \leq r} (s - I(s))$$

the noise volume exponent.

IDEA OF PROOF

- Use the Palm representation and the bound

$$1 - e^{-\lambda_n V_f^n(r)} \leq \min(1, \lambda_n V_f^n(r))$$

to write

$$p_e(n) \leq \int_{r>0} e^{-n\phi_n(r)} \rho^n(dr),$$

with

$$\phi_n(r) = \left(\ln(\alpha) + h(\mathcal{X}) - \frac{1}{n} \ln(V_f^n(r)) \right)^+.$$

- Leverage the LDP on ρ^n :

Use the Laplace–Varadhan integral lemma

(more precisely an extension of this lemma in Varadhan 84).

SYMMETRIC EXPONENTIAL WN EXAMPLE

■ LDP

$$\mathbb{E} (f(X)^{-\theta}) = (\sqrt{2}\sigma)^\theta \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\theta \frac{|X|\sqrt{2}}{\sigma} \right) \right) = (\sqrt{2}\sigma)^\theta \frac{1}{1-\theta}.$$

So, the LDP assumption holds with the good rate function:

$$I(x) = \sup_{\theta} \left(\theta x - \theta \ln(\sqrt{2}\sigma) + \ln(1-\theta) \right) = x - h(X) - \ln(x - \ln(\sqrt{2}\sigma))$$

■ Error exponent

$$\underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha - 1 - \ln \alpha & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha < \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} - 1 - \ln 2 + \ln \alpha & \text{if } \sqrt{2} \leq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

COLORED GAUSSIAN NOISE EXAMPLE

■ LDP

From the Grenander–Szegö Theorem, the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem holds with

$$G(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1-\theta) + \frac{\theta}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln(g(\beta)) d\beta \right) ,$$

when $\theta < 1$ and $G(\theta) = \infty$ for $\theta > 1$. So, the LDP assumption holds with the good rate function

$$I(x) = x - h(\mathcal{D}) - 1/2 \ln(2x - \ln(2\pi\sigma^2)) ,$$

■ Error exponent:

$$\underline{\pi}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \ln \alpha & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha < \sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \ln 2 + \ln \alpha & \text{if } \sqrt{2} \leq \alpha < \infty \end{cases} .$$

OTHER EXAMPLES STUDIED

- Uniform white noise
- Markov noise

AWGN CAPACITY AND ERROR EXPONENTS WITH CONSTRAINTS

- M, n positive integers.
- \mathcal{C} an (M, n) code:

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1(1) & t_1(2) & \cdots & t_1(n) \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ t_M(1) & t_M(2) & \cdots & t_M(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Rate of the code: $\frac{1}{n} \ln(M)$
- Power constraint: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_m(i)^2 \leq P$ for all m ;
i.e. all codewords belong to $B^n(0, \sqrt{nP})$.

AWGN CAPACITY AND ERROR EXPONENTS WITH CONSTRAINTS (continued)

- W uniform on $\{1, \dots, M\}$.
- Transmitter sends $(T(1), \dots, T(n)) = (t_W(1), \dots, t_W(n))$
- The channel adds an independent noise $(D(1), \dots, D(n))$ where coordinates $D(i)$ are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.
- The receiver gets $(Z(1), \dots, Z(n))$ with $Z(i) = T(i) + D(i)$.
- MLE decoding:

$$\widehat{W} = \operatorname{argmin}_m \sum_{i=1}^n (Z(i) - t_m(i))^2$$

and

$$p_e(\mathcal{C}) = P(\widehat{W} \neq W)$$

AWGN CAPACITY AND ERROR EXPONENTS WITH CONSTRAINTS (continued)

■ Shannon capacity of the AWGN channel

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

– If $R < C$, there exists a sequence of (e^{nR}, n) codes \mathcal{C}_n s.t.

$$p_e(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

– If $R > C$, for all sequences of (e^{nR}, n) codes \mathcal{C}_n

$$\liminf_n p_e(\mathcal{C}_n) = 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

AWGN CAPACITY AND ERROR EXPONENTS WITH CONSTRAINTS (*continued*)

■ Error exponents for the AWGN channel

- $P_{e,opt}(n, R, A)$: infimum of $P_e(\mathcal{C})$ over all codebooks in \mathbb{R}^n of rate at least R when the signal-to-noise ratio is $A^2 = P/\sigma^2$.

$$\mathcal{E}(n, R, A) = -\frac{1}{n} \log P_{e,opt}(n, R, A) .$$

- Error exponent:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{E}}(R, A) &= \limsup_n \mathcal{E}(n, R, A), \\ \underline{\mathcal{E}}(R, A) &= \liminf_n \mathcal{E}(n, R, A).\end{aligned}$$

ASEN CAPACITY WITH CONSTRAINTS

- Same setting but with a stationary and ergodic noise \mathcal{D}
- Shannon capacity of the ASEN channel

$$C_P(\mathcal{D}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{T^n, \mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^n |T_i|^2) < nP} I(T^n, T^n + D^n),$$

where

- $I(X, Y)$ is the mutual information of X and Y :

$$I(X, Y) = h(X) + h(Y) - h(X, Y)$$
- the supremum bears on all distribution functions for $T^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^n |T_i|^2) < nP$.

RELATION BETWEEN CAPACITY WITH AND WITHOUT CONSTRAINTS

- Additive stationary and ergodic noise \mathcal{D} with
 - Differential entropy rate: $h(\mathcal{D})$
 - Variance: $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}(0)^2)$
 - Capacity: $c(\mathcal{D}) = -h(\mathcal{D})$
- Lemma [Shannon]

Under the above assumptions

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e P) + c(\mathcal{D}) \leq C_P(\mathcal{D}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e(P + \sigma^2)) + c(\mathcal{D})$$

and

$$C_P(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e P) + c(\mathcal{D}) + O(1/P), \quad P \rightarrow \infty.$$

ASEN ERROR EXPONENTS WITH CONSTRAINTS

- As above:

$$\mathcal{E}(n, R, P, \mathcal{D}) = -\frac{1}{n} \log P_{e,opt}(n, R, P, \mathcal{D}) .$$

- Error exponent:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{E}}(R, P, \mathcal{D}) &= \limsup_n \mathcal{E}(n, R, P, \mathcal{D}), \\ \underline{\mathcal{E}}(R, P, \mathcal{D}) &= \liminf_n \mathcal{E}(n, R, P, \mathcal{D}).\end{aligned}$$

RELATION BETWEEN ERROR EXPONENTS WITH AND WITHOUT CONSTRAINTS

- $\underline{\mathcal{E}}(R, P, \mathcal{D})$: e-e for rate R , power constraint P , noise \mathcal{D} .
- $\underline{\pi}(\mu, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D})$: e-e for μ with intensity e^{nR} ,
 $R = -h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha)$, noise \mathcal{D} and MLE.

■ **Theorem [AB 10]**

Under the above assumptions,
for all μ and \mathcal{C} with $\alpha > 1$, for all $P > 0$,

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi eP) - h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha), P, \mathcal{D}\right) \geq \underline{\pi}(\mu, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha-, \mathcal{D}).$$

■ Matches Shannon's random error exponent and expurgated exponent in AWGN

IDEA OF PROOF

- Consider as codebook the restriction of the p.p. μ^n of intensity $e^{n(-h(\mathcal{D})-\ln(\alpha))}$ in the ball of radius \sqrt{nP} .
- The mean number of codewords is $e^{nR+o(1)}$ with
$$R = -h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e P).$$
- Compare the error in this codebook and in the stationary point process.

IDEA OF PROOF (continued)

- For all n ,

$$p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^n \left(\sum_k \text{ s.t. } T_k^n \in B^n(0, \sqrt{nP}) p_{e,k} \right)}{e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n \ln(\alpha)} V_B^n(\sqrt{nP})} ,$$

with $p_{e,k}$ the probability that $T_k^n + D_k^n \notin \mathcal{C}_k^n$ given $\{T_l^n, \mathcal{C}_l^n\}_l$.

IDEA OF PROOF (continued)

■ Hence, for all $\gamma > 0$,

$$p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^n \sum_{\substack{k \\ \text{s.t.}}} \sum_{T_k^n \in B^n(0, \sqrt{nP})} p_{e,k} \mathbf{1}_{\mu^n(B^n(0, \sqrt{nP})) \geq (2\pi e P)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n \ln(\alpha + \gamma)}} \\ & \geq \frac{e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n \ln(\alpha)} V_B^n(\sqrt{nP})}{e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n \ln(\alpha + \gamma)}} \\ & \geq \mathbb{P}^n \left(\mu^n(B^n(0, \sqrt{nP})) \geq (2\pi e P)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n \ln(\alpha + \gamma)} \right) \\ & = p_{e,opt}(n, \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e P) - h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha + \gamma), P, \mathcal{D}) e^{-n \ln(\alpha + \gamma)} e^{n \ln(\alpha)} \frac{(2\pi e P)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{V_B^n(\sqrt{nP})}. \end{aligned}$$

IDEA OF PROOF (continued)

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{n} \ln (p_e^{pp}(n, \mu^n, \mathcal{C}^n, \alpha, \mathcal{D})) \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left(p_{e,opt}(n, \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi e P) - h(\mathcal{D}) - \ln(\alpha + \gamma), P, \mathcal{D}) \right) \\
 & \quad -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\mathbb{P}^n \left(\mu^n(B^n(0, \sqrt{n}P)) \geq (2\pi e P)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-nh(\mathcal{D})} e^{-n(\alpha + \gamma)} \right) \right) \\
 & \quad - \ln(\alpha) + \ln(\alpha + \gamma) - \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\frac{(2\pi e P)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{V_B^n(\sqrt{n}P)} \right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof concluded when taking

- a **liminf** in n ;
- a **lim** in γ .

MATERN POINT PROCESSES FOR WGN

- Built from a Poisson processes μ_n of rate $\lambda_n = e^{nR}$ where $R = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi e \alpha^2 \sigma^2}$
- Exclusion radius $(\alpha - \epsilon)\sigma\sqrt{n}$
- The intensity of this Matérn p.p. is

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n e^{-\lambda_n V_B^n((\alpha - \epsilon)\sigma\sqrt{n})}$$

- We have

$$\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_n}{\lambda_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

MATERN LOWER BOUNDS ON WGN ERROR EXPONENTS

$$\underline{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \underline{\pi}(\text{Poisson}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \quad (\text{random coding e-e})$$

$$\underline{\eta}(\alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \underline{\pi}(\text{Matérn}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \quad (\text{expurgated e-e})$$

Theorem 3-wgn-Matérn [AB 08] In the $\mathcal{D}=\text{AWGN}$ case

3 For $\alpha > 2$: $\underline{\pi}(\text{Matérn}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{8}$

- Matches Poltyrev's expurgated error exponent **Poltyrev [94]**

MATERN POINT PROCESSES FOR SEN

- Assume for simplicity that $f^n(x^n) = f^n(-x^n)$.
- If two points S and T of the Poisson point process μ^n are such that $\mathbb{S}(T, S) < \xi$, then T is discarded.
- The surviving points form the Matérn- \mathcal{D} - ξ point process $\hat{\mu}^n$.
- Lemma The probability of error for the Matérn- \mathcal{D} - ξ point process satisfies the bound

$$p_e(n) \leq \int_{x^n \in \mathbb{R}^n} \min \left(1, \lambda_n \int_{y^n \in \mathbb{R}^n} 1_{\mathbb{S}(y^n, 0) \geq \xi} 1_{\mathbb{S}(x^n, y^n) \leq \mathbb{S}(x^n, 0)} dy^n \right) f^n(x^n) dx^n.$$

SYMMETRIC EXPONENTIAL EXAMPLE

- For \mathcal{D} symmetric exponential

$$\underline{\pi}(\text{Mat}, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}), \alpha, \mathcal{D}) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha - \ln(\alpha) - 1 & \text{for } \alpha \leq 2 \\ \ln(\alpha) + 1 - 2\ln(2) & \text{for } 2 \leq \alpha \leq 4 \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} - \ln(\alpha) - 1 + 2\ln(2) & \text{for } \alpha \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

CONCLUSION, FUTURE WORK

Bridge between
Information Theory and Stochastic Geometry

New viewpoint on error exponents
New stochastic geometry problems in high dimension

Other point processes to be investigated:
Matérn, Gibbs, Determinantal, etc.

VARADHAN'S LEMMA

- Theorem 2.3 in Varadhan 84
- P_ϵ satisfies a LDP with good rate function $I(\cdot)$.
- F_ϵ non negative and such that

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, y \rightarrow x} F_\epsilon(y) \geq F(x), \quad \forall x$$

with F lower semicontinuous.

- Then

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} -\epsilon \ln \left(\int e^{-\frac{F_\epsilon(x)}{\epsilon}} P_\epsilon(dx) \right) \geq \inf\{F(x) + I(x)\}.$$

Szego's Theorem

- Under technical conditions, the eigenvalues $\tau(i, n)$, $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ of the covariance matrix Γ^n are such that

$$\lim \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n F(\tau(i, n)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(f(\beta)) d\beta.$$

- Key relations

$$r_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ik\beta} g(\beta) d\beta.$$

$$g(\beta) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} t_k e^{ik\beta}, \quad \beta \in [0, 2\pi].$$