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## Motivation: thin film CIGS solar cell production



Collaboration with IPVF (Institut Photovoltaïque de France).

Optimal control of the production process of thin film CIGS (Copper, Indium, Gallium, Selenium) solar cell devices

## Typical composition of a CIGS solar cell



## Production process: Physical Vapor Decomposition (PVD)



## Modelisation

5 different chemical species: $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Ga}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Mo}$
Physical phenomena to take into account in the model:

- the evolution of the surface of the thin film surface during the fabrication process
- the diffusion of the different chemical species within the bulk of the film, due to the high temperature of the chamber

Talk of today: only the second point

## Modelisation on the atomistic scale

## Multi-species symmetric exclusion process on a grid

Lattice-based stochastic hopping model
For the sake of simplicity:

- only two chemical species red and blue
- Atoms are clamped on an infinite periodic grid (sites of the crystalline solid): $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$


## Modelisation on the atomistic scale

## Multi-species symmetric exclusion process on a grid

Lattice-based stochastic hopping model

- At some given random times (following an exponential law), one random particle may jump to a neighbouring site.
- Let $K \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.

Let $\left(\mathbf{v}_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq K} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ be the set of $K$ possible jump directions of each particle.
Let $\left(p_{k}\right)_{1 \leq k \leq K} \subset(0,1)$ be the probability rate of each jump direction.

- Let us assume that the selected random particle is located at the site $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. A jump direction $\mathbf{v}_{k}$ is randomly selected with probability $p_{k}$.
- If the site located at position $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{v}_{k}$ is already occupied by another particle, nothing happens
- Otherwise, the particle jumps at the new site located at $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{v}_{k}$.
- Select another random time, another random particle...


## Modelisation on the atomistic scale

Multi-species symmetric exclusion process on a grid
Lattice-based stochastic hopping model


## Hydrodynamic limit: deterministic cross-diffusion system

[Quastel, 1992], [Erignoux, 2016]
Limit as

- the number of particles grows to infinity
- the distance between neighbouring sites decreases to 0

For the sake of simplicity, on the torus $\mathbb{T}^{d}:=\mathbb{R}^{d} / \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ (periodic boundary conditions)

- $\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ density of red particles at time $t>0$ and point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$
- $\rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ density of blue particles at time $t>0$ and point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho_{\text {blue }}}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)+\frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D} & \frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho}\left(\mathbb{D}-\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)\right) \\
\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho}\left(\mathbb{D}-\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)\right) & \frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)+\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{\text {red }}}{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{\text {blue }}}\right]
$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t):=\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t)$.

## Definition of $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)$

It holds that there exists a unique solution to the previous system provided that

$$
\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t=0) \geq 0, \rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t=0) \geq 0, \rho(\mathbf{x}, t=0)=\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t=0)+\rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t=0)
$$

Then, it holds that for all $t>0$,

$$
\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t) \geq 0, \rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t) \geq 0, \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)=\rho_{\text {red }}(\mathbf{x}, t)+\rho_{\text {blue }}(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq 1
$$

- Definition of $\mathbb{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}: \mathbb{D}:=\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{k} \mathbf{v}_{k} \mathbf{v}_{k}^{T}$
- Definition of $\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ for a given $\rho \in[0,1]$ : The matrix $\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)$ is symmetric, non-negative and called the auto-diffusion matrix of the system.


## Auto-diffusion matrix: probabilistic interpretation

## Tagged particle process



For all $t>0$, let $\mathbf{w}_{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ be the position at time $t$ of the tagged particle.

## Auto-diffusion matrix: probabilistic interpretation

For all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \mathbf{u}=2 \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{t}\right)^{2}\right]}{t}
$$

Remark: Since $\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)$ is symmetric, by polarization, it is sufficient to know the value of

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \mathbf{u}
$$

for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ to know the value of the whole matrix $\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)$.
Computation in practice:

- Introduce a finite-size grid with periodic boundary conditions
- Exponential convergence with respect to the size of the finite grid [Landim, Olla, Varadhan, 2022]
- Approximate the expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{t}\right)^{2}\right]$ with Monte-Carlo simulations
- Very high variance leads to very large statistical noise


## Auto-diffusion matrix: optimization interpretation

For a given vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\rho \in[0,1]$, the auto-diffusion coefficient $\mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \mathbf{u}$ can be equivalently expressed as the solution of a high-dimensional optimization problem.

- Let $S:=\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$.
- An element $\eta:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}} \in\{0,1\}^{S}$ denotes a possible environment around the tagged particle.
- Let $H:=\left\{\Psi:\{0,1\}^{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}$ the set of real-valued functions defined on the set of possible environments

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \mathbf{u}:=2 \inf _{\psi \in H} \mathbb{E}_{\rho}\left[J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\eta})\right]
$$

where

- $J_{\psi}:\{0,1\}^{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see next slide)
- $\mathbb{E}_{\rho}$ denotes the expectation on all possible environments $\eta$ so that each site is independently occupied with probability $\rho$.


## Auto-diffusion matrix: optimization interpretation

Let $\eta:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S} \in\{0,1\}^{S}$

- For all $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{z} \in S, \eta^{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}}:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S}$ is the element of $\{0,1\}^{S}$ such that

$$
\eta_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}}:= \begin{cases}\eta_{\mathbf{s}} & \text { if } \mathbf{s} \neq \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \\ \eta_{\mathbf{y}} & \text { if } \mathbf{s}=\mathbf{z}, \\ \eta_{\mathbf{z}} & \text { if } \mathbf{s}=\mathbf{y} .\end{cases}
$$

- For all $\mathbf{w} \in S, \eta^{0, w}:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}^{0, \mathbf{w}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S}$ is the element of $\{0,1\}^{S}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{\mathbf{s}}^{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{w}}:= \begin{cases}\eta_{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{w}} & \text { if } \mathbf{s} \neq-\mathbf{w}, \\
0 & \text { if } \mathbf{s}=-\mathbf{w} .\end{cases} \\
J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\eta})=\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{k}\left[\left(1-\eta_{\mathbf{v}_{k}}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}+\Psi\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{0, \mathbf{v}_{k}}\right)-\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta})\right)^{2}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{y} \in S \\
\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{v}_{k} \neq 0}}\left(\Psi\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{v}_{k}, \mathbf{y}}\right)-\Psi(\boldsymbol{\eta})\right)^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## Deterministic interpretation: finite-size grid approximation

## Computation in practice:

- Introduce a finite-size grid with periodic boundary conditions

Exponential convergence with respect to the size of the finite grid [Landim, Olla, Varadhan, 2022]

Let $M \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $S_{M}:=\{-M, \cdots, M\}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ so that $\left|S_{M}\right|=(2 M+1)^{d}-1$. Let $H_{M}:=\left\{\Psi:\{0,1\}^{S_{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}$

For all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\rho=\frac{\ell}{\left|S_{M}\right|}$ with $\ell \in\left\{0,1, \cdots,\left|S_{M}\right|\right\}$,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho) \mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}^{M}(\ell) \mathbf{u}:=2 \inf _{\psi \in H_{M}} \sum_{\substack{\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \in\{0,1\}^{s_{M}} \\ \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \eta_{\mathbf{s}}=\ell}} J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\eta})
$$

High-dimensional optimization problem $\hookrightarrow$ Curse of dimensionality $H_{M} \approx\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)^{S_{M}}$

## Numerical approach investigated here

Tensor methods + greedy algorithms

## First step: reformulation of the optimization problem

Let us introduce $\psi^{\text {opt }} \in H_{M}$ solution to

$$
\psi^{\mathrm{opt}}:=\underset{\psi \in H_{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{\eta:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M} \in\{0,1\}}^{s_{M}}} J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\eta})
$$

Then, for all $\ell \in\left\{0,1, \cdots,\left|S_{M}\right|\right\}$,

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}^{M}(\ell) \mathbf{u}=2 \sum_{\substack{\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right) \\ \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \in\{0,1\}_{M} \eta_{\mathbf{s}}=\ell}} J_{S_{M}} J_{\mathrm{wot}}(\boldsymbol{\eta})
$$

We are going to present a numerical approach in order to obtain a numerical approximation of $\psi^{\text {opt }}$ which circumvents the curse of dimensionality

## Second step: definition of a pure rank-1 tensor

For all $\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}$, let $R^{\mathbf{s}}:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad$ Remark: $R^{\mathbf{s}} \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$
The pure rank-1 tensor associated to the family $\left(R^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}}$ is the function $\otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}} \in H_{M}$ defined as follows:
for all $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}}$,

$$
\left(\otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}}\right)(\eta)=\Pi_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)
$$

Note that the knowledge of $\otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}}$ only requires the knowledge of $\left|S_{M}\right|$ vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
We are going to compute a numerical approximation of $\Psi^{\text {opt }}$ under the form

$$
\psi^{\mathrm{opt}} \approx \psi_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R_{k}^{\mathbf{s}}
$$

with $n$ small and where for all $\mathbf{s}_{\in} S_{M}$ and all $1 \leq k \leq n, R_{k}^{\mathbf{s}}:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
The number of terms $n$ is then called the rank of the tensor approximation of $\Psi^{\text {opt }}$

## Third step: greedy algorithm

Let us denote by $\mathcal{E}: H_{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the (quadratic) functional defined by

$$
\forall \Psi \in H_{M}, \quad \mathcal{E}(\Psi):=\sum_{\eta:=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \in\{0,1\} s_{M}} J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\eta})
$$

so that

$$
\Psi^{\mathrm{opt}}=\underset{\Psi \in H_{M}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{E}(\Psi)
$$

## Greedy algorithm:

- Initialization : Start from $\Psi_{0}=0$
- Iteration $n \geq 1$ : Select $\left(R_{n}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}}$ solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(R_{n}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \in \underset{\substack{ \\
\forall \mathbf{s} \in S_{M},\left(R^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \\
\operatorname{argmin}}\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}{ }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theoretical results on greedy algorithm for tensor approximation

Theorem (Cancès, VE, Lelièvre, 2011)
The sequence $\left(\Psi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\Psi^{\mathrm{opt}}$ exponentially fast with respect to $n$.

## In practice? Fixed-point algorithm

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(R_{n}^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \in \underset{\substack{ \\
\left(R^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} \\
\forall \mathbf{s} \in S_{M}, R^{\mathbf{s}}:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}}{ } \mathcal{E}\left(\Psi_{n-1}+\otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}}\right) \\
\end{gathered}
$$

## Alternating Least Square (ALS) algorithm

Define some ordering of the sites of $S_{M}$ so that

$$
S_{M}=\left\{\mathbf{s}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{s}_{\left|S_{M}\right|}\right\}
$$

Finding a family $\left(R^{\mathbf{s}}\right)_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}}$ then amounts to finding a family $\left(R_{n}^{\mathbf{s}_{\rho}}\right)_{1 \leq \rho \leq\left|S_{M}\right|}$

$$
\forall \boldsymbol{\eta}=\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\right)_{1 \leq p \leq\left|S_{M}\right|}, \quad \otimes_{\mathbf{s} \in S_{M}} R^{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\eta})=\Pi_{p=1}^{\left|S_{M}\right|} R^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\right)
$$

- Initialization: Start from $\left(R_{n, 0}^{s_{p}}\right)_{1 \leq p \leq\left|S_{M}\right|}$ which are randomly chosen
- Iteration $m \geq 1$ : For all $1 \leq p \leq\left|S_{M}\right|$, find

$$
R_{n, m}^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}=\underset{R:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{E}\left(\Psi_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta})+\Pi_{p^{\prime}=1}^{p-1} R_{n, m}^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p^{\prime}}}\right) R\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\right) \Pi_{p^{\prime}=p+1}^{\left|S_{M}\right|} R_{n, m-1}^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p^{\prime}}}\right)\right)
$$

## In practice? Fixed-point algorithm

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is quadratic, computing

$$
R_{n, m}^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}=\underset{R:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{E}\left(\Psi_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta})+\Pi_{p^{\prime}=1}^{p-1} R_{n, m}^{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p^{\prime}}}\right) R\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p}}\right) \Pi_{p^{\prime}=p+1}^{\left|S_{S_{0}}\right|} R_{n, m-1}^{\mathbf{s}_{\rho}}\left(\eta_{\mathbf{s}_{p^{\prime}}}\right)\right)
$$

also amounts to solving a quadratic problem to find a two-dimensional vector.

## Resolution of a two-dimensional linear problem

Because of the particular structure of the functional $\mathcal{E}$, the assembly of this two-dimensional linear problem can be done with complexity which evolves linearly with $n\left|S_{M}\right|$.

## Variance of the naive Monte-Carlo method

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbb{D}_{s}\left(\frac{\ell}{\left|S_{M}\right|}\right) \mathbf{u}=2 \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{t}\right)^{2}\right]}{t}
$$



$$
d=2, M=2, \mathbf{u}=(1,0), \ell=0
$$

Convergence as of the greedy algorithm
Convergence of $\mathcal{E}\left(\Psi_{n}\right)$ to $\mathcal{E}\left(\Psi^{\text {opt }}\right)$ as a function of $n$


## Numerical results

$n=10$



- Tensor method: 3 minutes
- Monte-Carlo method: 15 minutes


## What next?

## Perspectives:

- Couple this tensor/greedy approach together with domain decomposition method to solve larger problems
- Develop a finite-volume scheme in order to solve the original cross-diffusion system.

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\binom{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho_{\text {blue }}}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)+\frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D} & \frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho}\left(\mathbb{D}-\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)\right) \\
\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho}\left(\mathbb{D}-\mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)\right) & \frac{\rho_{\text {red }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}_{s}(\rho)+\frac{\rho_{\text {blue }}}{\rho} \mathbb{D}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{\text {red }}}{\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \rho_{\text {blue }}}\right]
$$

Jad Dabaghi, Virginie Ehrlacher, Christoph Strössner, Tensor approximation of the self-diffusion matrix of tagged particle processes, 2022, arXiv:2204.03943

CEMRACS 2022 project: tensor/greedy approach for Mean-Field Games systems

Crowd motion applications: [Achdou, Laurière, 2020]

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u-\nu \Delta u+H(x, m, \nabla u)=0 \\
\partial_{t} m-\nu \Delta m-\operatorname{div}\left(m H_{p}(x, m, \nabla u)\right)=0 \\
m(0, x)=m_{0}(x) \\
u(T, x)=\phi(x, m(T, x))
\end{array}\right.
$$

with Luca Nenna, Laïla Baroukh, Damien Prel and Léopold Trémant.
More on Thursday!

Thank you for your attention!

