

Hierarchy of fluid models and environmental problem.

Didier Bresch

LAMA UMR5127 CNRS

E-mail: didier.bresch@univ-savoie.fr

Part 2

Thanks to the organizers for the invitation

CIRM - CEMRACS, July 2015

Main objective

- ▶ Extend the frame covered by P.-L. Lions and E. Feireisl' theory with constant viscosities (or temperature dependent).

Motivations:

No monotonicity assumption on $\partial_\varrho P(\varrho)$ or $\partial_\varrho P(\varrho, \vartheta)$

Pressure in mind (virial) in temperature dependent case:

$$P(\varrho, \theta) = \varrho \theta \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(\theta) \varrho^n \right) \text{ with } B_n(\theta) \text{ some functions.}$$

and

Anisotropy on the diffusion: $-\mu_x \Delta_x u - \mu_z \partial_z^2 u$ with $\mu_x \neq \mu_z$ const.

Books on compressible NS eqs (global weak solutions):

P.-L. Lions (1998), E. Feireisl (2004), A. Novotny - I. Straskraba (2004),
E. Ferireisl - A. Novotny (2009), P. Plotnikov - J. Sokolowski (2012),
E. Feireisl - M. Pokorny (2014 - Notes on web).

Based on joint work with:

P.-E. JABIN (Maryland USA)

What is known actually on global weak solutions with constant viscosities?

Barotropic case:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \text{[CNS]} \quad & \partial_t (\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\varrho) = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

with a given law $s \mapsto P(s)$, $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + 2\mu/d > 0$.

The case $P(s) = a s^\gamma$ with $a > 0$:

- ▶ P.-L. Lions (1993–1998): $\gamma \geq 3d/(d+2)$
- ▶ E. Feireisl (2001) with co-authors: $\gamma > d/2$
- ▶ Note the recent work: P. Plotnikov-W. Weigant (2015): $d = 2$ and $\gamma = 1$

Some important non-monotone cases

- ▶ E. Feireisl (2002)
- ▶ B. Ducomet, E. Feireisl, H. Petzeltova, I. Straskarba (2004)

Hypothesis on P with $P'(\rho) \geq C^{-1} \rho^{\gamma-1} - C$ for all $\rho \in [0, +\infty)$.

Case $P(\varrho) = a\varrho^\gamma$ (Estimates):

Energy estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Pi^d} \varrho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\Pi^d} \varrho^\gamma \right) + \mu \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Pi^d} \frac{|m_0|^2}{\varrho_0} + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \int_{\Pi^d} \varrho_0^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Extra integrability on the density (Bogovskii operator):

$$\int_{\Pi^d} \varrho^p = \int_{\Pi^d} \varrho^{\gamma+\theta} \leq C < +\infty$$

with

$$\theta \leq 2\gamma/d - 1.$$

Remark. We have ϱ square integrable namely $p \geq 2$ if $\gamma \geq 3d/(d+2)$
(P.-L. Lions constraint)

Compactness to pass to the limit in ϱu and $\varrho u \otimes u$ mostly relies on

- ▶ compactness (negative sobolev space) on $\varrho_k u_k$: Aubin-Lions-Simon Lemma
- ▶ convergence in norm to have compactness on $\sqrt{\varrho_k} u_k$ in $L^2((0, T) \times \Pi^d)$

The main difficulty in the proof: passage to the limit in ϱ_k^γ in weak formulation
How to get compactness on ϱ in Lebesgue spaces?

The main step where the monotonicity is required (case $\gamma \geq 3d/(d+2)$)

$$\partial_t(\varrho \ln \varrho) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \ln \varrho u) + \varrho \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

noticing that

$$s \mapsto s \ln s$$

is a strictly convex function and

$$s \mapsto p(s)$$

is an increasing function.

Goal: show that

$$\overline{\varrho \ln \varrho} = \varrho \ln \varrho$$

\implies commutation between strictly convex function and weak limit

$$\partial_t(\varrho \ln \varrho) + \operatorname{div}(s\varrho \ln \varrho u) + \varrho \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

This uses the property (effective flux property): weak compactness

$$\overline{\rho \operatorname{div} u} - \frac{\overline{P(\rho)\rho}}{\lambda + 2\mu} = \rho \operatorname{div} u - \frac{\overline{P(\rho)\rho}}{\lambda + 2\mu}$$

which gives

$$\overline{\rho \operatorname{div} u} - \rho \operatorname{div} u = \frac{\overline{P(\rho)\rho} - \overline{P(\rho)\rho}}{\lambda + 2\mu} \implies \text{appropriate sign due to monotonicity}$$

For more general γ , use a clever truncature procedure: see E. Feireisl.

In the **anisotropic case**

$$-\mu_x \Delta_x u - \mu_z \partial_z^2 u - \lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} u \text{ with } \mu_x \neq \mu_z \text{ const}$$

Then

$$\overline{\varrho \operatorname{div} u} - \varrho \operatorname{div} u \leq \frac{\overline{\varrho A_\mu \varrho^\gamma} - \varrho A_\mu \varrho^\gamma}{\mu_x + \lambda}$$

where $A_\mu = a_\mu (\Delta - (\mu_x - \mu_z) \partial_z^2)^{-1} \partial_z^2$ with $a_\mu = (\mu_x - \mu_z)$.

No *a priori* sign on the right-hand side: Non-local effects.

\implies **difficulty**: Possible mixing phenomena (small/large value of density)

See discussions in D.B., B. Desjardins, D. Gérard-Varet (2004).

The first compressible Navier-Stokes system under consideration

Consider the following barotropic system in periodic box:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \text{[CNS]} \quad & \partial_t (\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\varrho) = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

with the pressure P locally Lipschitz on $[0, +\infty)$, with $P(0) = 0$ and

$$C^{-1} \varrho^\gamma - C \leq P(\varrho) \leq C \varrho^\gamma + C$$

and for all $s \geq 0$, we only assume

$$|P'(s)| \leq s^{\tilde{\gamma}-1}$$

for some $\tilde{\gamma} > 1$.

Mathematical result

Theorem. Let (ϱ_0, u_0) such that

$$E(\varrho_0, u_0) = \int_{\Pi^d} \frac{|m^0|^2}{2\varrho_0} + \varrho_0 e(\varrho_0) < +\infty$$

with $e(s) = \int_0^s P(\tau)/\tau^2 d\tau$. Let P satisfying the previous hypothesis with

$$\gamma > (\max(2, \tilde{\gamma}) + 1) d/(d + 2)$$

then there exists a global weak solution to the compressible Barotropic Navier-Stokes equations (CNS).

Remark:

- ▶ If $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$ then $\gamma > 3d/(d + 2)$.
- ▶ Truncated procedure as introduced by E. Feireisl could give $\gamma > d/2$.
- ▶ Importance of such pressure: biology, solar events.....

The second compressible Navier-Stokes system under consideration

Consider the following barotropic system in periodic box:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \text{[ACNS]} \quad & \partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \operatorname{div}(A(t)\nabla u) - (\lambda + \mu)\nabla \operatorname{div} u + \nabla P(\varrho) = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

with the pressure P locally Lipschitz on $[0, +\infty)$, with $P(0) = 0$ and

$$C^{-1}\rho^{\gamma-1} - C \leq P'(\rho) \leq C\rho^{\gamma-1} + C$$

and a $d \times d$ matrix $A = \mu \operatorname{Id} + \delta A(t)$ with time dependent smooth coefficient.

Remarks:

- ▶ Case usually encountered in geophysics: $-\nu_x \Delta_x u - \nu_z \partial_z^2 u$ (see Handbook R. Temam and M. Ziane).
- ▶ We can consider: $-\operatorname{div}(A(t)D(u)) + \lambda \nabla \operatorname{div} u$.
- ▶ Incompressible flows - weak sol.: anisotropy no problem if not degenerate.
- ▶ Compressible feature: Possible "density mixing" due to non-local operator.

Mathematical result

Theorem. Let (ϱ_0, u_0) such that

$$E(\varrho_0, u_0) = \int_{\Pi^d} \frac{|m^0|^2}{2\varrho_0} + \varrho_0 e(\varrho_0) < +\infty$$

with $e(s) = \int_0^s P(\tau)/\tau^2 d\tau$. Let P satisfying the monotonicity assumption and assume that

$$\gamma > \frac{d}{2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{d}\right) + \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{d^2}} \right].$$

There exists a universal constant $C_* > 0$ such that if

$$\|\delta A\|_\infty \leq C_*(2\mu + \lambda).$$

then there exists a global weak solution to the compressible Barotropic Navier-Stokes equations (CNS).

Remark. Seems a straightforward perturbation result.....

BUT it is trickier than the non-monotone pressure case due to non-local terms!!

How it works on a more simple case?

Let us consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = P(\varrho) + Q \end{cases} \quad [CS]$$

with a given pressure law $s \mapsto P(s)$: System encountered in biology for instance.

We assume the pressure P locally Lipschitz on $[0, +\infty)$, with $P(0) = 0$ and

$$C^{-1} \varrho^\gamma - C \leq P(\varrho) \leq C \varrho^\gamma + C$$

and for all $s \geq 0$, we only assume

$$|P'(s)| \leq s^{\gamma-1}.$$

Compactness on the density?

A compactness Lemma

Let ϱ_k bounded in $L^p((0, T) \times \Pi^d)$ (with $1 \leq p < +\infty$) and

$$\partial_t \varrho_k \in L^q(0, T; W^{-1,q}(\Pi^d))$$

with $q > 1$. Let K_h positive, bounded functions s.t.

$$\forall \eta > 0, \quad \sup_h \int_{|x| \geq \eta} K_h(x) dx < +\infty$$

and

$$\|K_h\|_{L^1(\Pi^d)} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ when } h \rightarrow +0$$

If

$$\limsup_k \limsup_t \left[\frac{1}{\|K_h\|_{L^1}} \int_{\Pi^d} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)|^p dx dy \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0$$

Then ϱ_k compact in $L^p((0, T) \times \Pi^d)$.

A compactness Lemma

Some references:

- ▶ J. Bourgain, H. Brézis, P. Mironescu: [Functional spaces](#) (2001)
- ▶ A.C. Ponce: [Functional spaces](#) (2004)
- ▶ F. Ben Belgacem, P.-E. Jabin: [Nonlinear continuity equations](#) (2013)

The problem:

Weak solutions:

No Sobolev regularity propagation on ϱ for compressible Navier-Stokes Eqs.

The frame:

- ▶ Weak regularity on the velocity field
- ▶ Vacuum state for the density.

The idea:

- ▶ Introduce some appropriate weights w_k in the quantity to be controlled
Precise the rate of convergence in terms of h .
- ▶ Derive appropriate properties on these weights
Go back to the definition without weights without too much lost in h .

Introduce weights: first idea

In the sequel, we write: $\operatorname{div} u_k = P(\varrho_k) + Q_k$
with Q_k compact in k (with a corresponding $\epsilon_k(h)$).

1) Introduce:

$$R_h(t) = \int_{\Pi^d} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| w(t,x) w(t,y) dx dy$$

with w solution of

$$\partial_t w + u_k \cdot \nabla w + \lambda D w = 0$$

with D an appropriate positive damping term linked to (ϱ_k, u_k) .

Choose appropriate damping terms in D :

\implies to control the propagation of the quantity R_h in time explicitly in h

2) Show some properties of the weights when D is chosen:

For instance:

$$0 \leq w \leq 1, \quad \int \varrho_k |\log w|^\theta < +\infty$$

with some $\theta > 0$.

Remove the weights using their properties to apply the compactness lemma

Let w be solution of

$$\partial_t w + u_k \cdot \nabla w = -\lambda(M|\nabla u_k| + \varrho_k^2) w, \quad w|_{t=0} = 1$$

where Mf is the maximal function of f namely

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r \leq 1} \frac{1}{|B(0, r)|} \int_{B(0, r)} f(x + z) dz.$$

Let us look at propagation of the quantity for the simple system

$$R_h(t) = \int_{\Pi^d} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| w(t,x) w(t,y) dx dy.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} R_h(t) &= \int_{\Pi^{2d}} \nabla K_h(x-y) \cdot (u_k(t,x) - u_k(t,y)) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| w(t,x) w(t,y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (\operatorname{div} u_k(t,x) - \operatorname{div} u_k(t,y)) (\varrho_k(x) + \varrho_k(y)) s_k w(t,x) w(t,y) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| (\partial_t w(t,x) + u_k(t,x) \cdot \nabla w(t,x)) w(t,y) \\ &\quad + \text{symmetric.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\Pi^{2d}} \nabla K_h(x-y) \cdot (u_k(t,x) - u_k(t,y)) |\rho_k(t,x) - \rho_k(t,y)| w(t,x) w(t,y) \\ \leq C \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (M|\nabla u_k|(t,x) + M|\nabla u_k|(t,y)) w(t,x) w(t,y)$$

Thanks to

$$|u_k(t,x) - u_k(t,y)| \leq C|x-y|(M|\nabla u_k|(t,x) + M|\nabla u_k|(t,y))$$

and

$$|\nabla K_h(x-y)||x-y| \leq C K_h(x-y).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (\operatorname{div} u_k(t, x) - \operatorname{div} u_k(t, y)) (\varrho_k(t, x) + \varrho_k(t, y)) s_k w(t, x) w(t, y) \\
& \leq \|K_h\|_{L^1} (\varepsilon_k(h))^{1-1/p} \\
& + \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (P(\varrho_k(t, x)) - P(\varrho_k(t, y))) (\varrho_k(t, x) + \varrho_k(t, y)) s_k w(t, x) w(t, y)
\end{aligned}$$

Using locally Lipschitz property of P and the control on $|P'(s)| \leq Cs^{\gamma-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (P(\varrho_k(t, x)) - P(\varrho_k(t, y))) (\varrho_k(t, x) + \varrho_k(t, y)) s_k w(t, x) w(t, y) \\
& \leq C \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (\varrho_k^\gamma(t, x) + \varrho_k^\gamma(t, y)) |\varrho_k(t, x) - \varrho_k(t, y)| w(t, x) w(t, y)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} R(t) &\leq C \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) (M|\nabla u_k|(t,x) + \varrho_k(t,x)^\gamma) |\varrho(t,x) - \varrho(t,y)| w(t,x) w(t,y) \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Pi^{2d}} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| Pw(t,x) w(t,y) \\ &\quad + \text{symmetric} \\ &\quad + \|K_h\|_{L^1} (\varepsilon_k(h))^{1-1/p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore assuming λ large enough in the weight definition we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} R(t) \leq \|K_h\|_{L^1} (\varepsilon_k(h))^{1-1/p}$$

Property on the weight w :

$$\int_{\Pi^d} |\log w(t, x)| \varrho_k(t, x) \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Pi^d} P(\rho_k(t, x)) \varrho_k(t, x) < +\infty$$

\implies hypothesis $p \geq \gamma + 1$ needed. This will be relax later-on!

Remind p index of the integrability of ϱ through Bogovski type estimates

Control the size where $w(t, x)$ is small by the size where $\rho(t, x)$ is small !!

Do not control the size where $w(t, y)$ small when in front of $\varrho_k(t, x)$

Remark $w(t, y)$ may be small when $\varrho(t, x)$ is large.....

Therefore no compactness because no control close to vacuum !!!

Remark. If transport equation considered with compactness properties on $\operatorname{div} u_k$ then in many respect: Equivalent of the method of G. Crippa and C. De Lellis at the PDE level instead of ODE level: **No weight needed.**

See paper by F. Ben Belgacem and P.-E. Jabin:
Nice results on non-linear continuity Eq.

Let us propose a better candidate for quantity R_h !!

Assume

$$\frac{1}{\|K_h\|_{L^1}} \int_{\Pi^d} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| (w(t,x) + w(t,y)) dx dy = o(h).$$

and

$$\int_{\Pi^d} \rho_k |\log w| \leq C < +\infty$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Pi^d} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| dx dy \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\eta'} \int_{\Pi^d} \mathbf{1}_{\{w(t,x) \geq \eta' \text{ or } w(t,y) \geq \eta'\}} K_h(x-y) |\varrho_k(t,x) - \varrho_k(t,y)| (w(t,x) + w(t,y)) dx dy \\ & \quad + 2 \frac{1}{|\log \eta'|^{1/2}} \int_{\Pi^d} \mathbf{1}_{\{w(t,x) \leq \eta' \text{ and } w(t,y) \leq \eta'\}} K_h(x-y) \rho_k(t,x) |\log w|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Use that $\varrho \in L^p$ with $p > 2$ and optimize η' in terms of h to conclude by the compactness Lemma.

For compressible Navier–Stokes equations:

More complicated (see D.B., P.–E. Jabin : [arXiv:1507.04629](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.04629))

Thank you for your attention!