gPC FEM for stochastic elliptic PDEs

C. Schwab R.A. Todor, P. Frauenfelder Seminar for Applied Mathematics ETH Zürich CEMRACS 2006, CIRM, Luminy, France

Outline

- 1 Random Fields, Statistics
- 2 Stochastic BVP
- 3 Karhunen-Loève (KL) Expansion
- 4 Reduction to High Dimensional Deterministic BVP
- 5 Numerical Experiments

Literature

Perturbation Methods

- J. B. Keller (1964)
- M. Kleiber, T.D. Hien (1992)

Stochastic Galerkin; Generalized Polynomial Chaos (Karhunen-Loève)

- N. Wiener (1930ies), Cameron and Martin (1950)
- R. G. Ghanem, P. D. Spanos (1991 –)
- J.T. Oden, I. Babuska et al. (2001 -)
- G. E. Karniadakis et al. (\sim 2000)

Babuška, Tempone and Zouraris (SINUM 2004)

- H. Matthies and R. Keese (Review; CMAME 2004)
- C. Schwab, P. Frauenfelder and R.A. Todor (CMAME 2005)
- R.A. Todor (SINUM 2004 and Diss. ETHZ 2005)
- C. Schwab and R.A. Todor (JCP 2006)

Random Fields, Statistics

 $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz domain, (Ω, Σ, P) probability space Random field on D

 $u(x,\omega): D \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ jointly measurable w.r.t. $\lambda \times P$

Statistics

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mean Field} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_u : D \to \mathbb{R} \\ E_u(x) := \int_{\Omega} u(x,\omega) \, dP(\omega) \end{array} \right. \\ \\ \text{Covariance} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_u : D \times D \to \mathbb{R} \\ C_u(x,x') := \int_{\Omega} (u(x,\omega) - E_u(x))(u(x',\omega) - E_u(x')) \, dP(\omega) \end{array} \right. \\ \\ \\ \text{Probabilistic Level Sets} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } \varepsilon > 0, u_0 \in \mathbb{R} \\ D_{u_0}^{\varepsilon} := \{x \in D \mid P(u(x,\cdot) > u_0) > \varepsilon \} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Stochastic BVP

Given: random field a on D, deterministic source term $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$

 $\begin{array}{lll} (\mathsf{SBVP}) & \mathsf{Find random field } u(x,\omega) \mathsf{ satisfying} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} -\mathsf{div}(a(x,\omega)\nabla_x u(x,\omega)) &=& f(x) & \mathrm{in} \ D \\ & u(x,\omega) &=& 0 & \mathrm{on} \ \partial D \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{ll} P- \mathsf{ a.e. } \omega \in \Omega \\ \end{array}$

If $a(x,\omega)$ is bounded, positive on $D \times \Omega \ \lambda \otimes P - a.s.$ and if $f \in H^{-1}(D)$, then (SBVP) is well-posed in $H^1(D) \otimes L^2(\Omega)$

Statistical version

Given: statistics of a ($E_a, C_a, ...$) and of fFind: statistics of u ($E_u, C_u, D_{u_0}^{\varepsilon}, ...$)

 $H_1, \langle, \rangle_{H_1}, H_2, \langle, \rangle_{H_2}$ and S, \langle, \rangle_S separable Hilbert spaces,

 $(s_m)_{m\in\Lambda}$ ONB in S.

Then any $f \in H_1 \otimes S$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} f_m \otimes s_m.$$

$$H_1 \otimes S \times H_2 \otimes S \ni (f,g) \longrightarrow C_{fg} := \sum_{m \in \Lambda} f_m \otimes g_m \in H_1 \otimes H_2$$

well-defined, bilinear and bounded with norm 1, and ind. of the choice of the basis $(s_m)_{m \in \Lambda}$ in S.

Definition (Correlation)

For $f \in H_1 \otimes S$ and $g \in H_2 \otimes S$, $C_{fg} \in H_1 \otimes H_2$ is the **correlation** of the pair (f,g).

Remark

If $H_1 = H_2 = H$ and the corresponding scalar products also coincide, the set

$$\{C_f := C_{ff} \mid f \in H \otimes S\}$$

of all correlation kernels is in one-to-one correspondence with a certain class of operators on H.

Proposition 1 (Karhunen-Loève) H, \langle, \rangle_H and S, \langle, \rangle_S separable Hilbert spaces,

 $\dim H = \dim S$

 $(s_m)_{m\in\Lambda}$ ONB in S.

Then correlations of elements in $H \otimes S$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the positive definite trace class operators in H, via

$$\sum_{m \in \Lambda} f_m \otimes f_m = C_f \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_f : H \ni x \longrightarrow \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \langle f_m, x \rangle_H \cdot f_m \in H, \quad (1)$$

for $f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} f_m \otimes s_m.$

Proof.

(i) C_f defined on the r.h.s. of (1) is compact (norm limit of finite rank operators obtained by truncating the series).

(ii) Positivity of C_f clear

(iii) Trace is finite: choosing $(e_m)_{m\in\Lambda}$ ONB in H,

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{C}_f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \langle \mathcal{C}_f e_m, e_m \rangle_H = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \langle f_m, e_n \rangle_H^2 = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \|f_m\|_H^2 = \|f\|_{H \otimes S}^2 < \infty.$$

The mapping (1) is therefore well-defined.

(iv) From

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_f x, y \rangle_H = \langle C_f, x \otimes y \rangle_{H \otimes H} \quad \forall x, y \in H$$
 (2)

it follows that the definition of C_f does not depend on the basis $(s_m)_{m \in \Lambda}$ and that the mapping (1) is injective.

(v) Surjectivity of (1):

Let C be a positive definite trace class operator in H. Then C is compact and has eigenpair sequence $(\lambda_m, \phi_m)_{m \in \Lambda}$,

$$\mathcal{C}\phi_m = \lambda_m \phi_m \quad \forall m \in \Lambda.$$
(3)

The eigenvalues $(\lambda_m)_{m \in \Lambda}$ have finite multiplicity, sequence is nonincreasing and may accumulate only in 0.

Moreover, the trace class condition reads

$$\sum_{m\in\Lambda}\lambda_m<\infty. \tag{4}$$

Then the series

$$\sum_{m\in\Lambda}\sqrt{\lambda_m}\cdot\phi_m\otimes s_m\tag{5}$$

converges due to (4) to an element $f \in H \otimes S$ for which

$$C_f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \lambda_m \cdot \phi_m \otimes \phi_m \tag{6}$$

From (2),(3), (6) it follows that C_f has the same spectral decomposition as C, i.e. $C_f = C$.

Corollary

Let H, \langle, \rangle_H be a separable Hilbert space and $C \in H \otimes H$ be a correlation kernel.

Then in terms of the spectral decomposition of $C \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$ defined as in (2), C can be represented as

$$C = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \lambda_m \cdot \phi_m \otimes \phi_m. \tag{7}$$

Theorem (Karhunen - Loève)

 $H, \langle, \rangle_H, S, \langle, \rangle_S$ separable Hilbert spaces

 $C \in H \otimes H$ correlation kernel, representation (7).

Then $f \in H \otimes S$ satisfies $C_f = C$ iff there exists an orthonormal family $(X_m)_{m \in \Lambda} \subset S$, such that

$$f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m \otimes X_m.$$
(8)

Proof.

The 'if' part follows by the arguments used to conclude the proof of the Theorem, after completing the family $(X_m)_{m \in \Lambda}$ to an ONB.

Conversely, if $C_f = C$, then we expand

$$f = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \phi_m \otimes Y_m, \tag{9}$$

with $(Y_m)_{m \in \Lambda} \subset S$, from which it follows via Proposition 1

$$C_f = \sum_{m,m'\in\Lambda} \langle Y_m, Y'_m \rangle_S \cdot \phi_m \otimes \phi'_m \tag{10}$$

Comparing (10) and (7), it follows that

$$\langle Y_m, Y_{m'} \rangle_S = \lambda_m \delta_{mm'}$$
 (11)

and (8) holds with $X_m := Y_m / \sqrt{\lambda_m}$.

- separation of deterministic and stochastic variables -

Proposition 1 (Karhunen-Loève) If $a \in L^2(D \times \Omega)$ then in $L^2(D \times \Omega)$

$$a(x,\omega) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m \ge 1} \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) X_m(\omega)$$

where

 $(\lambda_m, \phi_m)_{m \ge 1}$ the eigenpair sequence of C_a compact, selfadjoint

$$C_a: L^2(D) \to L^2(D)$$
 $(C_a v)(x) := \int_D C_a(x, x')v(x')dx' \quad \forall v \in L^2(D)$

 $(X_m)_{m\geq 1}$ vanishing mean, uncorrelated rv's

$$\int_{\Omega} X_m(\omega) \, dP(\omega) = 0 \qquad \int_{\Omega} X_n(\omega) X_m(\omega) \, dP(\omega) = \delta_{nm}, \quad \forall n, m \ge 1$$

- convergence -

$$a(x,\omega) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m \ge 1} \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) X_m(\omega)$$

KL expansion converges in $L^2(D \times \Omega)$, not necessarily in $L^{\infty}(D \times \Omega)$

To ensure $L^{\infty}(D \times \Omega)$ convergence, must

- estimate λ_m

- estimate $||\phi_m||_{L^{\infty}(D)}$
- assume bounds for $||X_m||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$

- eigenvalue estimates -

Regularity of C_a ensures decay of KL-eigenvalue sequence $(\lambda_m)_{m\geq 1}$

Definition

A correlation function $V_a : D \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ is **piecewise analytic**/ H^k / C^k on $D \times D$ if there exists a partition $\mathcal{D} = \{D_j\}_{j=1}^J$ of D into a finite sequence of simplices D_j such that

$$\overline{D} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{J} \overline{D_j}$$
(12)

and such that V is analytic in an open neighbourhood of $\overline{D_j} \times \overline{D_{j'}}$ / is in $H^k(D_j, L^2(D_{j'}))$ / is in $C^k(\overline{D_j}, L^2(D_{j'}))$ for any pair (j, j').

- eigenvalue estimates -

Lemma

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space and $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be symmetric, nonnegative and compact operator whose eigenpair sequence is denoted by $(\lambda_m, \phi_m)_{m \geq 1}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an operator of rank at most m, then it holds

$$\lambda_{m+1} \le \|\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_m\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}.$$
(13)

Proof. Straightforward application of the minimax principle:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{m+1} &= \min_{V \subseteq H \atop \dim V^{\perp} \leq m} \max_{\phi \in V \atop \|\phi\|_{H} = 1} \langle \mathcal{C}\phi, \phi \rangle \\ &= \max_{\phi \in (\mathcal{C}_{m})^{\perp} \atop \|\phi\|_{H} = 1} \langle (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_{m})\phi, \phi \rangle \leq \|\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_{m}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \end{split}$$

- eigenvalue estimates -

Theorem 2

Exponential decay (e.g. Gaussian covariance kernel $C_a(x, x') := \sigma^2 \exp(-\gamma |x - x'|^2)$)

 C_a pw analytic on $D \times D \Longrightarrow \exists c > 0$ $0 \le \lambda_m \lesssim \exp(-cm^{1/d})$ $\forall m \ge 1$

Algebraic decay (e.g. exponential covariance kernel $C_a(x, x') := \sigma^2 e^{-\gamma |x-x'|}$)

 $C_a \text{ pw } H^p(D) \otimes L^2(D) \ (p \ge 1) \Longrightarrow \quad 0 \le \lambda_m \lesssim m^{-(2p-1)/d} \quad \forall m \ge 1$

- eigenvalue estimates -



- eigenfunction estimates -

Regularity of C_a ensures L^{∞} bounds for L^2 -scaled eigenfunctions $(\phi_m)_{m\geq 1}$

Theorem 3

 C_a pw smooth on $D \times D \Longrightarrow \forall s > 0$, $\|\phi_m\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \lesssim |\lambda_m|^{-s} \quad \forall m \ge 1$

- convergence rate -

Conclusion: KL expansion converges uniformly and exponentially on $D\times \Omega$ if

- C_a pw analytic

- $(X_m)_{m\geq 1}$ uniformly bounded on Ω (e.g. uniformly distributed in (-1/2, 1/2))

- convergence rate -



- computation of eigenpairs -

Find $(\lambda, \phi) \in \mathbb{R} \times L^2(D)$ such that

$$\int_D \psi(x) \int_D C_a(x, x') \phi(x') \, dx' dx = \lambda \int_D \psi(x) \phi(x) dx, \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(D)$$

Discretization using $S^{p,-1}(D,\mathcal{T}_h) \subset L^2(D)$

Theorem 4

If C_a pw smooth and \mathcal{T} subordinate to smoothness partition of $a(x,\omega)$, then $\forall m \geq 1 \quad \exists C_m > 0$ such that

$$\forall h > 0 \qquad \|\phi_m - \phi_{m,h}\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le C_m h^{p+1}, \quad |\lambda_m - \lambda_{m,h}| \le C_m h^{p+1}$$

Open Problem: $\forall m \geq 1$: $C_m \leq \Phi(m)$, $\Phi = ?$

Kernel independent multipole method, Čhebysev-clustering ($D \times D$ = near field \cup far field) \Rightarrow stiffness matrix (approximated) : sparse + low rank matrices

Work(Matrix * Vector) = $O(N \log^c N)$,

Computation of M eigenfunctions: work is $O(MN \log^{c'} N)$.

- computation of eigenpairs -



- truncation -

 $M \in \mathbb{N}$ KL-truncation order

$$a_M(x,\omega) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m\geq 1}^M \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) X_m(\omega)$$

(SBVP) with stochastic coefficient $a(x,\omega)$

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x,\omega)\nabla_x u(x,\omega)) = f(x) \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(D) \otimes L^2(\Omega)$$

 $(SBVP)_M$ with truncated stochastic coefficient $a_M(x,\omega)$

 $-\operatorname{div}(a_M(x,\omega)\nabla_x u_M(x,\omega)) = f(x) \quad \text{ in } H^{-1}(D) \otimes L^2(\Omega)$

Theorem 5 (Sc. and Todor 2003)

If C_a pw analytic and $(X_m)_{m\geq 1}$ uniformly bounded, then ex. $M_0 > 0$ such that $(SBVP)_M$ well-posed for $M \geq M_0$ and

$$\|u-u_M\|_{H^1_0(D)\otimes L^2(\Omega)}\lesssim \ \exp(-cM^{1/d}) \quad orall M\geq M_0$$

$$a_M: D \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad a_M(x,\omega) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m \ge 1}^M \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) X_m(\omega)$$

Assumption

 $(X_m)_{m\geq 1}$ independent, uniformly bounded family of rv's (e.g. X_m uniformly distributed in $I = (-1/2, 1/2), \forall m$)

Random variable
$$X_m \longrightarrow$$
 Parameter $y_m \in I$
 $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M) \longrightarrow y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_M) \in I^M$

$$ilde{a}_M: D imes I^M o \mathbb{R}, \quad ilde{a}_M(x,y) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m \ge 1}^M \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) y_m$$

stochastic bvp

$$-{
m div}(a_M(x,\omega)
abla_x u_M(x,\omega))=f(x)$$
 in $H^{-1}(D),$ $P-{
m a.e.}$ $\omega\in\Omega$

parametric deterministic bvp

$$-\operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}_M(x,y)\nabla_x \tilde{u}_M(x,y)) = f(x) \quad \text{ in } H^{-1}(D), \quad \forall y \in I^M$$

Theorem 6 (Babuška, Tempone, Zouraris (SINUM 2004)) Under **Assumption**, the parametric deterministic bvp is well-posed and

$$u_M(x,\omega) = \tilde{u}_M(x, X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots, X_M(\omega))$$

- stochastic semi-discretization -

$$ilde{a}_M(x,y) = E_a(x) + \sum_{m\geq 1}^M \sqrt{\lambda_m} \phi_m(x) y_m$$

parametric deterministic bvp

$$-\operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}_M(x,y)\nabla_x \tilde{u}_M(x,y)) = f(x) \quad \text{ in } H^{-1}(D) \otimes L^2(I^M)$$

Galerkin semi-discretization in y ("Least-squares innerproduct")

 $\tilde{a}_M(x,y)$ affine in $y \Rightarrow \tilde{u}_M(x,y)$ analytic in $y \Rightarrow p$ -FEM in ytask: solve dbvp with an accuracy* $O(e^{-cM^{1/d}})$ in "low complexity" ** *how to choose the polynomial space \mathcal{P} in $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_M)$? **how to choose a basis \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{P} ?

- stochastic semi-discretization -

 $\mathcal{P} \subset L^2(I^M)$ polynomial space, \mathcal{B} basis of \mathcal{P}

Theorem 7 (BTZ, Sc. and Todor 2002)

If \mathcal{P} of tensor product type, then i. (\mathcal{P}): Accuracy $O(\exp(-cM^{1/d}))$ can be obtained by choosing - uniform polynomial degree

p = M in $y_1, \ldots, y_M \Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{P} \sim (M+1)^M$ dBVPs

or

- variable polynomial degree

$$p_m = \lceil M/m \rceil$$
 in $y_m \Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{P} \sim e^{O(M)}$

ii. (\mathcal{B}): $\exists \mathcal{B}$ of tensor product type: allows decoupling the problem in ysolving the dbvp in $D \times I^M \iff$ parallel solution of Card \mathcal{B} dBVPs in D \mathcal{P} not of tensor product type (sparse): $\mathcal{P} = ?$, $\mathcal{B} = ?$

- stochastic semi-discretization: h FEM -

 $I = (-1/2, 1/2), p \ge 0$ fixed $V_l :=$ pw polynomials of degree $p \ge 0$ on a mesh of width 2^{-l} in I

Theorem 8 (Todor and Sc. 2005)

If C_a pw analytic, then there exists a *sparse* tensor product space

$$\widehat{V}_M \subset \underbrace{V_M \otimes V_M \otimes \cdots \otimes V_M}_{M \text{ times}}$$

such that

i. (\mathcal{P}): The following approximation property holds,

$$\begin{split} \inf_{v \in \widehat{V}_{M}} \| \widetilde{u}_{M} - v \|_{H^{1}_{0}(D) \otimes L^{2}(I^{M})} &\lesssim & \exp(-cM^{1/d} + o(M^{1/d})) \\ \widehat{N} := \dim \widehat{V}_{M} &\lesssim & \exp(cM^{1/d}/(p+1) + o(M^{1/d})) \\ \inf_{v \in \widehat{V}_{M}} \| \widetilde{u}_{M} - v \|_{H^{1}_{0}(D) \otimes L^{2}(I^{M})} &\lesssim & \widehat{N}^{-p-1} \end{split}$$

ii. (\mathcal{B}): Products of 1-d discontinuous Multiwavelets of degree p

- stochastic semi-discretization: h FEM -

Stochastic Regularity: Piecewise analyticity of correlation and bounded range of X_m ensure

$$0 \le \rho_m := \|\psi_m\|_{L^{\infty}(D)} \le c_r \exp(-c_{1,r}m^{\kappa}) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$
(14)

Proposition

If \tilde{u}_M solves $(SBVP)_M$,

$$\|\partial_{y}^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{M}(y,\cdot)\|_{H^{1}_{0}(D)} \leq a_{-}^{-|\alpha|}|\alpha|! \prod_{m=1}^{M} \rho_{m}^{\alpha_{m}} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}(y,\cdot)\|_{H^{1}_{0}(D)},$$
(15)

 $\forall y \in I^M, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^M, M \in \mathbb{N}.$

Proof. Induction on $|\alpha|$.

Since (15) is clear for $|\alpha| = 0$, assume it for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^M$ such that $|\alpha| \leq k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider a multiindex α such that $|\alpha| = k + 1$ and we apply ∂_y^{α} to $(SBVP)_M$. We obtain

$$-\operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}_{M}(x,y)\nabla\partial_{y}^{\alpha}\tilde{u}_{M}(x,y)) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{m}\operatorname{div}(\sqrt{\lambda_{m}}\phi_{m}(x)\nabla\partial_{y}^{\alpha-e_{m}}\tilde{u}_{M}(x,y))$$
(16)

from which it follows

$$a_{-} \|\partial_{y}^{\alpha} \tilde{u}_{M}(\cdot, y)\|_{H^{1}_{0}(D)} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{m} \rho_{m} \|\partial_{y}^{\alpha-e_{m}} \tilde{u}_{M}(\cdot, y)\|_{H^{1}_{0}(D)}$$
(17)

The desired estimate follows then by using (15) in (17) for all multiindices $\alpha - e_m$, $1 \le m \le M$, whose length equals k.

- stochastic semi-discretization: h FEM -

Notations.

For $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $V^{l,p}$ the space of pw polynomials of degree at most p-1 on a regular mesh of width 2^{-l} in I.

Further set $V^{-1,p} := \{0\}$ and define by

$$W^{l,p} := V^{l,p} \ominus V^{l-1,p} \tag{18}$$

hierarchical excess of the scale $(V^{l,p})_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$, in the sense of $L^2(I)$.

 $L^{2}(I)$ orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^2(I) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} W^{l,p}.$$
 (19)

- stochastic semi-discretization: h FEM -

 $P_V : L^2(I) \text{ projection onto closed subspace } V \text{ of } L^2(I), \text{ then } (V^{l,p})_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\|u - P_{V^{l,p}}u\|_{L^2(I)} \leq c_p 2^{-lp} \|\partial^p u\|_{L^2(I)} \quad \forall u \in H^p(I), \quad (20)$ with some constant $c_p > 0.$

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Build the FE spaces in I^M as tensor products: For $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, ..., l_M) \in \mathbb{N}^M$ introduce

$$W^{\mathbf{l},p} := \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} W^{l_m,p},\tag{21}$$

which enables us via (19) to decompose $L^2(I^M)$ as

$$L^{2}(I^{M}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^{M}} W^{\mathbf{l},p}.$$
 (22)

Equivalently,

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^{M}} u^{\mathbf{l}}, \quad u^{\mathbf{l}} := P_{W^{1,p}} u \quad \forall u \in L^{2}(I^{M}).$$
(23)

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

For a multiindex $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_M) \in \mathbb{N}^M$, we define its length $|\mathbf{l}|$ by

$$|\mathbf{l}| := l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_M.$$
 (24)

Further,

SO

$$\mathcal{J}_{l} := \{ m \mid 1 \le m \le M, \, l_{m} > 0 \}, \quad j_{l} := |\mathcal{J}_{l}|,$$
(25)
that $\mathcal{J}_{l} = \{ m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{j_{l}} \}.$

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Proposition (Component Size Estimate)

If \tilde{u}_M solves $(SBVP)_M$ and X_m bounded, then

$$\|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \leq c_{a,p}^{j_{1}} \cdot 2^{-|l|p} \cdot (pj_{l})! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{j_{1}} \rho_{m_{j}}^{p} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})},$$
(26)

where $\tilde{u}_M^{\mathbf{l}} := P_{W^{\mathbf{l},p}} \tilde{u}_M \, \forall \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^M$.

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Proof: For a fixed multiindex $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^M$ we define $\mathbf{e} := (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_M) \in \mathbb{N}^M$ (depending on I) by

$$e_m := \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad l_m > 0 \\ 0 & if \quad l_m = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall 1 \le m \le M.$$

$$(27)$$

We write

$$\widetilde{u}_{M}^{l} = P_{W^{1,p}} \widetilde{u}_{M} = \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} (P_{V^{l_{m,p}}} - P_{V^{l_{m-1,p}}}) \widetilde{u}_{M} \\
= \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} (P_{V^{l_{m,p}}} - I + I - P_{V^{l_{m-1,p}}}) \widetilde{u}_{M} \\
= \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{M}, \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e}} (-1)^{M-|\mathbf{f}|} \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} (I - P_{V^{l_{m-f_{m,p}}}}) \widetilde{u}_{M}.$$
(28)

Using the approximation property (20) and noting that the sum in (28) consists of 2^{j_1} terms, we can estimate

$$\begin{split} \tilde{u}_{M}^{\mathbf{l}} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{M}, \, \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e}} c_{p}^{j_{1}} 2^{-(|\mathbf{l}| - |\mathbf{f}|)p} \cdot \|\partial_{y}^{p \cdot \mathbf{e}} \tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{N}^{M}, \, \mathbf{f} \leq \mathbf{e}} (2^{p} c_{p})^{j_{1}} 2^{-|\mathbf{l}|p} \cdot \|\partial_{y}^{p \cdot \mathbf{e}} \tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \\ &\leq (2^{p+1} c_{p})^{j_{1}} 2^{-|\mathbf{l}|p} \cdot \|\partial_{y}^{p \cdot \mathbf{e}} \tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}. \end{split}$$

$$(29)$$

Using the regularity estimate (15) in (29) leads to the desired estimate (26). \blacksquare

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Definition of 'supersparse' Tensor Product Spaces:

For $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ we introduce the index set

 $\Sigma_{\mu,\nu} \subset \mathbb{N}^M, \ \Sigma_{\mu,\nu} := \{ \mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{N}^M \mid |\mathbf{l}| \le \mu, \mathbf{l} \text{ has at most } \nu \text{ nontrivial entries} \},$ (30) and define 'supersparse' tensor subspace of $L^2(I^M)$,

$$\widehat{V}^{\mu,\nu} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{l}\in\Sigma_{\mu,\nu}} W^{\mathbf{l},p}.$$
(31)

- stochastic semi-discretization: $h \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Theorem 8 (Restatement)

There exist positive constants c_3, c_4 and c_r such that for

$$\mu = \lceil c_4 M^{\kappa} \rceil, \quad \nu = \lceil c_3 M^{\kappa/(\kappa+1)} \rceil$$
(32)

it holds

$$\|\tilde{u}_M - P_{\hat{V}^{\mu,\nu}}\tilde{u}_M\|_{L^2(I^M)} \le c_{a,r,p} \exp(-c_r M^\kappa + o(M^\kappa))$$
(33)

and

$$\dim \hat{V}^{\mu,\nu} \le c_{a,r,p} \exp(\frac{c_r}{p} M^{\kappa} + o(M^{\kappa})).$$
(34)

Note the same constant c_r appears in (33) and (34) respectively.

- stochastic semi-discretization: $p \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Proof. For $c_3, c_4 > 0$ which will be chosen later and with μ, ν as in (32), we write

$$\|\tilde{u}_{M} - P_{\tilde{V}^{\mu,\nu}}\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \setminus \Lambda^{\mu,\nu}} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \atop j_{l} > \nu} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} + \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \atop j_{l} \le \nu \atop |l| > \mu} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$
(35)

We estimate each of the two sums in (35) separately.

In both cases we use component size estimate (26) and notations (24), (25).

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{N}^{M}\\ |j|>\nu}} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{1}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} = \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{N}^{M}\\ |j_{l}|=j}} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{1}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{M} (2^{p+1}c_{p}/a_{-}^{p})^{j} \cdot (pj)! \cdot \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{N}^{M}\\ |j_{l}|=j}} 2^{-|\mathbf{l}|p} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{j} \rho_{m_{k}}^{p} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{M} (2^{p+1}c_{p}/a_{-}^{p})^{j} \cdot (pj)! \cdot \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_{1}<\ldots< m_{j}\leq M}} \prod_{k=1}^{j} e^{-c_{r}m_{k}^{s}p} \cdot \sum_{\substack{l_{m_{1}},\ldots,l_{m_{j}}=1}} 2^{-p(l_{m_{1}}+\cdots+l_{m_{j}})} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{M} x^{j}(pj)! \cdot \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_{1}<\ldots< m_{j}\leq M}} \prod_{k=1}^{j} e^{-c_{r}m_{k}^{s}p} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$
(36)

with some x > 0 depending on p, a_- .

We then use

Lemma A If $\kappa > 0$, and x > y > z > 0, then there exist $c_{\kappa,x,y}, c_{\kappa,y,z} > 0$ such that

$$c_{\kappa,x,y} \exp(-x \frac{1}{1+\kappa} j^{1+\kappa}) \le \sum_{1 \le m_1 < \dots < m_j < \infty} \prod_{k=1}^j \exp(-y m_k^{\kappa}) \le c_{\kappa,y,z} \exp(-z \frac{1}{1+\kappa} j^{1+\kappa})$$
(37)

 $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_+.$

Use Lemma A in (36) to obtain

$$\sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \atop j_{1} > \nu} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \lesssim \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{M} a^{(1+\kappa)j^{1+\kappa}p} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})},$$
(38)

for any $a \in (e^{-c_r}, 1)$, and with a constant depending on a, r, p.

The series in (38) converges faster than geometrically, therefore we conclude, with ν as in (32) and c_3 to be chosen next,

$$\sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}^{M}\atop j_{l}>\nu} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{l}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \lesssim a^{(1+\kappa)c_{3}^{1+\kappa}M^{\kappa}p} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})},$$
(39)

with a constant depending on a, r, p.

We choose now c_3 to match the r.h.s. of (33), i.e. such that

$$a^{(1+\kappa)c_3^{1+\kappa}p} = e^{-c_r}.$$
(40)

We turn to the second sum in (35).

We use again bound (26) and Lemma A.

We write

$$\sum_{\substack{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \\ j_{I} \leq \nu \\ |I| > \mu}} \| \tilde{u}_{M}^{l} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \leq \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \\ j_{I} \leq \nu \\ |I| > \mu}} (2^{p+1}c_{p}/a_{-}^{p})^{j_{I}} \cdot 2^{-|I|p} \cdot (pj_{I})! \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{j_{I}} \rho_{m_{j}}^{p} \cdot \| \tilde{u}_{M} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \\ j_{I} \leq \nu \\ |I| > \mu}} a^{(1+\kappa)j_{1}^{1+\kappa}p} \cdot 2^{-|I|p} \cdot \| \tilde{u}_{M} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}, \qquad (41)$$

 $\forall a \in (e^{-c_r}, 1)$ and with a constant depending on a, r, p.

Use counting argument in the r.h.s. of (41) and

Lemma B For any $t \in [0, 1)$ and $j, L \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j \leq L$ it holds

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} {\binom{L+n}{j}} t^n \leq (L+1)^j (1-t)^{-j-1}.$$
 (42)

Use Lemma B with $t = 2^{-p}$ to get

$$\sum_{\substack{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \\ j_{I} \leq \nu \\ |I| > \mu}} \| \tilde{u}_{M}^{1} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} {M \choose j} a^{(1+\kappa)j^{1+\kappa}p} \cdot \sum_{l=\mu+1}^{\infty} {l \choose j} 2^{-pl} \cdot \| \tilde{u}_{M} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\leq 2^{-p(\mu+1)} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} {M \choose j} a^{(1+\kappa)j^{1+\kappa}p} \cdot (1-2^{-p})^{-j-1} \cdot (\mu+2)^{j} \cdot \| \tilde{u}_{M} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-p(\mu+1)} \cdot \max_{0 \leq q \leq \nu} {M \choose q} \cdot 2^{\nu+1} \cdot (\mu+2)^{\nu} \cdot \| \tilde{u}_{M} \|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}, \quad (43)$$

with a constant depending on a, r, p.

The r.h.s. of (43) can be further estimated as follows

$$\sum_{\substack{I \in \mathbb{N}^{M} \\ j_{1} \leq \nu \\ |I| > \mu}} \|\tilde{u}_{M}^{I}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})} \lesssim 2^{-p(\mu+1)} \cdot (M+1)^{\nu} \cdot 2^{\nu} \cdot (\mu+2)^{\nu} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$
$$= e^{-p(\mu+1)\log 2 + \nu(\log(M+1) + \log 2 + \log(\mu+2))} \cdot \|\tilde{u}_{M}\|_{L^{2}(I^{M})}$$
(44)

We note that ν has been already chosen as in (32) with c_3 given by (40).

Choosing now μ as in (32), with

$$c_4 := \frac{c_r}{p \log 2} \tag{45}$$

we immediately see from (44) that the upper bound in (33) is matched. The proof of (33) is therefore complete.

Estimate of dimension of $\hat{V}^{\mu,\nu}$ **:** with μ, ν as in (32).

$$\dim \hat{V}^{\mu,\nu} = \text{Card } \Lambda^{\mu,\nu} = \sum_{q=0}^{\nu} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu} {M \choose q} {l \choose q} 2^{l} p$$

$$\leq p(M+1)^{\nu} \sum_{q=0}^{\nu} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu} {l \choose q} 2^{l}$$

$$\leq p(M+1)^{\nu} \sum_{l=0}^{\mu} (l+1)^{\nu} 2^{l} \leq p(M+1)^{\nu} \mu (\mu+1)^{\nu} 2^{\mu}$$

$$= e^{\log p + \nu (\log(M+1) + \log(\mu+1)) + \mu \log 2}$$
(46)

(34) follows then by using (32), (40) and (45) in (46).

- stochastic semi-discretization: $p \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Theorem 9 (Todor and Sc. 2005)

If C_a pw analytic exists \hat{V}_M sparse polynomial space in M variables such that

i. (\mathcal{P}): The following approximation property holds,

$$\begin{split} \inf_{v\in \widehat{V}_M} \|\widetilde{u}_M - v\|_{H^1_0(D)\otimes L^\infty(I^M)} &\lesssim & \exp(-cM^{1/d}) \\ \widehat{N} := \dim \widehat{V}_M &\lesssim & \exp(\widehat{c}M^{1/(d+1)}\log(M)) \\ \inf_{v\in \widehat{V}_M} \|\widetilde{u}_M - v\|_{H^1_0(D)\otimes L^\infty(I^M)} &\lesssim & \widehat{N}^{-k} \quad \forall k > 0 \end{split}$$

ii. (\mathcal{B}): There exists a basis of \hat{V}_M such that the stiffness matrix of the byp with stochastic data is well-conditioned and sparse in the stochastic variable y (at most O(M) nontrivial entries in each row)

- stochastic semi-discretization: $p \ \mathsf{FEM}$ -

Comparison of required number of dof's (d = 2)

	M=5	M=10	M=20	M=30	M=50
uniform polynomial degree	7776	2.5e+10	2.7e+26	5.5e+44	2.3e+85
adapted polynomial degree	60	19200	3.0e+09	6.8e+14	5.8e+25
sparse polynomial space \widehat{V}_M	16	143	3401	38883	1815763

- stochastic semi-discretization -

Sparsity pattern w.r.t. y of stochastic moment matrix













mean field: pointwise (computed - overkill)/overkill M = 8 r = 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1 correlation length = 5 -0.002 -0.0025 -0.003 -0.0035 -0.004 -0.0045 -0.005 -0.0055 -0.006 -0.0065 -0.007 -0.0075 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.2